

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549**

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2007

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Transition Period from _____ to _____



| Commission File Number | Registrant, State of Incorporation, Address and Telephone Number | I.R.S. Employer Identification No. |
|------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| 1-8809 | SCANA Corporation (a South Carolina corporation) 1426 Main Street, Columbia, South Carolina 29201 (803) 217-9000 | 57-0784499 |
| 1-3375 | South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (a South Carolina corporation) 1426 Main Street, Columbia, South Carolina 29201 (803) 217-9000 | 57-0248695 |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants: (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrants were required to file such reports), and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

SCANA Corporation Yes No South Carolina Electric & Gas Company Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definitions of "accelerated filer" and "large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| SCANA Corporation | Large accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> | Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> |
| South Carolina Electric & Gas Company | Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> | Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> | Non-accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

SCANA Corporation Yes No South Carolina Electric & Gas Company Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

| Registrant | Description of Common Stock | Shares Outstanding at April 30, 2007 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| SCANA Corporation | Without Par Value | 116,664,933 |
| South Carolina Electric & Gas Company | \$4.50 Par Value | 40,296,147 ^(a) |

^(a)Owned beneficially and of record by SCANA Corporation.

This combined Form 10-Q is separately filed by SCANA Corporation and South Carolina Electric & Gas Company. Information contained herein relating to any individual company is filed by such company on its own behalf. Each company makes no representation as to information relating to the other company.

INDEX TO FORM 10-Q

MARCH 31, 2007

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information | 3 |
| PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| SCANA Corporation Financial Section | 4 |
| Item 1. Financial Statements | 5 |
| Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets | 5 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income | 7 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | 8 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income | 9 |
| Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | 10 |
| Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 18 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 23 |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures | 24 |
| South Carolina Electric & Gas Company Financial Section | 25 |
| Item 1. Financial Statements | 26 |
| Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets | 26 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income | 28 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | 29 |
| Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | 30 |
| Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 37 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 41 |
| Item 4T. Controls and Procedures | 42 |
| PART II. OTHER INFORMATION | 43 |
| Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 43 |
| Item 6. Exhibits | 43 |
| Signatures | 44 |
| Exhibit Index | 45 |

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q which are not statements of historical fact are intended to be, and are hereby identified as, "forward-looking statements" for purposes of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements concerning key earnings drivers, customer growth, environmental regulations and expenditures, leverage ratio, projections for pension fund contributions, financing activities, access to sources of capital, impacts of the adoption of new accounting rules, estimated construction and other expenditures and factors affecting the availability of synthetic fuel tax credits. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology such as "may," "will," "could," "should," "expects," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "projects," "predicts," "potential" or "continue," or the negative of these terms or other similar terminology. Readers are cautioned that any such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve a number of risks and uncertainties, and that actual results could differ materially from those indicated by such forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated by such forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the following:

- (1) the information is of a preliminary nature and may be subject to further and/or continuing review and adjustment;
- (2) regulatory actions, particularly changes in rate regulation and environmental regulations;
- (3) current and future litigation;
- (4) changes in the economy, especially in areas served by subsidiaries of SCANA Corporation (SCANA);
- (5) the impact of competition from other energy suppliers, including competition from alternate fuels in industrial interruptible markets;
- (6) growth opportunities for SCANA's regulated and diversified subsidiaries;
- (7) the results of financing efforts;
- (8) changes in SCANA's or its subsidiaries' accounting rules and accounting policies;
- (9) weather conditions, especially in areas served by SCANA's subsidiaries;
- (10) payment by counterparties as and when due;
- (11) the availability of fuels such as coal, natural gas and enriched uranium used to produce electricity; the availability of purchased power and natural gas for distribution; the level and volatility of future market prices for such fuels and purchased power; and the ability to recover the costs for such fuels and purchased power;
- (12) performance of SCANA's pension plan assets;
- (13) inflation;
- (14) compliance with regulations; and
- (15) the other risks and uncertainties described from time to time in the periodic reports filed by SCANA or South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (SCE&G) with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

SCANA and SCE&G disclaim any obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

**SCANA CORPORATION
FINANCIAL SECTION**

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SCANA CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Unaudited)

| Millions of dollars | March 31, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Utility Plant In Service | \$ 9,380 | \$ 9,227 |
| Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization | (2,871) | (2,815) |
| | 6,509 | 6,412 |
| Construction Work in Progress | 288 | 326 |
| Nuclear Fuel, Net of Accumulated Amortization | 70 | 39 |
| Acquisition Adjustments | 230 | 230 |
| Utility Plant, Net | 7,097 | 7,007 |
| Nonutility Property and Investments: | | |
| Nonutility property, net of accumulated depreciation of \$74 and \$70 | 139 | 132 |
| Assets held in trust, net-nuclear decommissioning | 58 | 56 |
| Other investments | 89 | 88 |
| Nonutility Property and Investments, Net | 286 | 276 |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 52 | 201 |
| Receivables, net of allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$19 and \$14 | 614 | 655 |
| Receivables - affiliated companies | 28 | 32 |
| Inventories (at average cost): | | |
| Fuel | 237 | 300 |
| Materials and supplies | 96 | 93 |
| Emission allowances | 46 | 22 |
| Prepayments and other | 34 | 39 |
| Deferred income taxes | 24 | 34 |
| Total Current Assets | 1,131 | 1,376 |
| Deferred Debits and Other Assets: | | |
| Pension asset, net | 206 | 200 |
| Emission allowances | - | 27 |
| Regulatory assets | 768 | 792 |
| Other | 116 | 139 |
| Total Deferred Debits and Other Assets | 1,090 | 1,158 |
| Total | \$ 9,604 | \$ 9,817 |

| Millions of dollars | March 31, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| Capitalization and Liabilities | | |
| Shareholders' Investment: | | |
| Common equity | \$ 2,895 | \$ 2,846 |
| Preferred stock (Not subject to purchase or sinking funds) | 106 | 106 |
| Total Shareholders' Investment | 3,001 | 2,952 |
| Preferred Stock, net (Subject to purchase or sinking funds) | 8 | 8 |
| Long-Term Debt, net | 2,965 | 3,067 |
| Total Capitalization | 5,974 | 6,027 |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Short-term borrowings | 431 | 487 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 118 | 43 |
| Accounts payable | 341 | 414 |
| Accounts payable - affiliated companies | 26 | 27 |
| Customer deposits and customer prepayments | 74 | 85 |
| Taxes accrued | 56 | 121 |
| Interest accrued | 48 | 51 |
| Dividends declared | 54 | 51 |
| Other | 72 | 126 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 1,220 | 1,405 |
| Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities: | | |
| Deferred income taxes, net | 955 | 947 |
| Deferred investment tax credits | 104 | 120 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 296 | 292 |
| Postretirement benefits | 195 | 194 |
| Regulatory liabilities | 740 | 714 |
| Other | 120 | 118 |
| Total Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities | 2,410 | 2,385 |
| Commitments and Contingencies (Note 5) | - | - |
| Total | \$ 9,604 | \$ 9,817 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

SCANA CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(Unaudited)

| Millions of dollars, except per share amounts | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Operating Revenues: | | |
| Electric | \$ 443 | \$ 399 |
| Gas - regulated | 435 | 514 |
| Gas - nonregulated | 485 | 476 |
| Total Operating Revenues | 1,363 | 1,389 |
| Operating Expenses: | | |
| Fuel used in electric generation | 156 | 117 |
| Purchased power | 11 | 4 |
| Gas purchased for resale | 727 | 811 |
| Other operation and maintenance | 174 | 157 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 91 | 76 |
| Other taxes | 41 | 39 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 1,200 | 1,204 |
| Operating Income | 163 | 185 |
| Other Income (Expense): | | |
| Other income | 29 | 57 |
| Other expenses | (17) | (45) |
| Interest charges, net of allowance for borrowed funds used during construction of \$2 and \$1 | (53) | (54) |
| Preferred dividends of subsidiary | (2) | (2) |
| Allowance for equity funds used during construction | 1 | - |
| Total Other Expense | (42) | (44) |
| Income Before Income Tax Expense, Losses from Equity Method Investments and Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change | 121 | 141 |
| Income Tax Expense | 30 | 45 |
| Income Before Losses from Equity Method Investments and Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change | 91 | 96 |
| Losses from Equity Method Investments | (5) | (4) |
| Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change, net of taxes | - | 6 |
| Net Income | \$ 86 | \$ 98 |
| Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share of Common Stock: | | |
| Before Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change | \$.73 | \$.80 |
| Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change, net of taxes | - | .05 |
| Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share | \$.73 | \$.85 |
| Weighted Average Shares Outstanding (millions) | 116.7 | 115.0 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

SCANA CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

| Millions of dollars | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 86 | \$ 98 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided from operating activities: | | |
| Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of taxes | - | (6) |
| Excess losses, net of distributions from equity method investments | 5 | 4 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 92 | 78 |
| Amortization of nuclear fuel | 5 | 5 |
| Carrying cost recovery | (1) | (2) |
| Cash provided (used) by changes in certain assets and liabilities: | | |
| Receivables, net | 63 | 235 |
| Inventories | 49 | 34 |
| Prepayments and other | 7 | 5 |
| Pension asset | (6) | (3) |
| Other regulatory assets | 41 | 7 |
| Deferred income taxes, net | 18 | 8 |
| Regulatory liabilities | 5 | 14 |
| Postretirement benefits | 1 | 2 |
| Accounts payable | (107) | (187) |
| Taxes accrued | (65) | (55) |
| Interest accrued | (3) | (3) |
| Changes in fuel adjustment clauses | (12) | 16 |
| Changes in other assets | 19 | 6 |
| Changes in other liabilities | (53) | (37) |
| Net Cash Provided From Operating Activities | 144 | 219 |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities: | | |
| Utility property additions and construction expenditures | (138) | (83) |
| Nonutility property additions | (11) | (10) |
| Investments | (8) | (10) |
| Net Cash Used For Investing Activities | (157) | (103) |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities: | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | - | 21 |
| Repurchase of common stock | (3) | - |
| Repayment of debt | (26) | (6) |
| Dividends | (51) | (46) |
| Short-term borrowings, net | (56) | (38) |
| Net Cash Used For Financing Activities | (136) | (69) |
| Net Increase (Decrease) In Cash and Cash Equivalents | (149) | 47 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, January 1 | 201 | 62 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, March 31 | \$ 52 | \$ 109 |
| Supplemental Cash Flow Information: | | |
| Cash paid for - Interest (net of capitalized interest of \$2 and \$1) | \$ 55 | \$ 57 |
| - Income taxes | 4 | 3 |
| Noncash Investing and Financing Activities: | | |
| Accrued construction expenditures | 35 | 23 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

SCANA CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(Unaudited)

| Millions of dollars | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Net Income | \$ 86 | \$ 98 |
| Other Comprehensive Income, net of tax: | | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on hedging activities: | | |
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period | 7 | (15) |
| Reclassification adjustment for losses included in net income | 11 | 13 |
| Total Comprehensive Income ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 104 | \$ 96 |

⁽¹⁾ Accumulated other comprehensive loss totaled \$10.8 million as of March 31, 2007 and \$29.2 million as of December 31, 2006.

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

SCANA CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
March 31, 2007
(Unaudited)

The following notes should be read in conjunction with the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements appearing in SCANA Corporation's (SCANA and, together with its consolidated subsidiaries, the Company) Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. These are interim financial statements, and due to the seasonality of the Company's business and matters that may occur during the rest of the year, the amounts reported in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income are not necessarily indicative of amounts expected for the full year. In the opinion of management, the information furnished herein reflects all adjustments, all of a normal recurring nature, which are necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods reported.

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Basis of Accounting

The Company accounts for its regulated utility operations, assets and liabilities in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 71, "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation." SFAS 71 requires cost-based rate-regulated utilities to recognize in their financial statements certain revenues and expenses in different time periods than do enterprises that are not rate-regulated. As a result, the Company has recorded the regulatory assets and regulatory liabilities summarized as follows.

| Millions of dollars | March 31, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| Regulatory Assets: | | |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes | \$ 174 | \$ 174 |
| Under-collections - electric fuel and gas cost adjustment clauses | 76 | 95 |
| Deferred purchased power costs | 6 | 9 |
| Environmental remediation costs | 28 | 29 |
| Asset retirement obligations and related funding | 267 | 264 |
| Franchise agreements | 54 | 55 |
| Deferred regional transmission organization costs | 7 | 8 |
| Deferred employee benefit plan costs | 140 | 142 |
| Other | 16 | 16 |
| Total Regulatory Assets | \$ 768 | \$ 792 |
| Regulatory Liabilities: | | |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes | \$ 36 | \$ 38 |
| Over-collections - electric fuel and gas cost adjustment clauses | 24 | 8 |
| Other asset removal costs | 609 | 599 |
| Storm damage reserve | 46 | 44 |
| Planned major maintenance | 4 | 6 |
| Other | 21 | 19 |
| Total Regulatory Liabilities | \$ 740 | \$ 714 |

Accumulated deferred income tax liabilities arising from utility operations that have not been included in customer rates are recorded as a regulatory asset. Accumulated deferred income tax assets arising from deferred investment tax credits are recorded as a regulatory liability.

Under- and over-collections-electric fuel and gas cost adjustment clauses, net, represent amounts under- or over-collected from customers pursuant to the fuel adjustment clause (electric customers) or gas cost adjustment clause (gas customers) as approved by the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (SCPSC) or the North Carolina Utilities Commission (NCUC) during annual hearings. Included in these amounts are regulatory assets or liabilities arising from realized and unrealized gains and losses incurred in the natural gas hedging programs of the Company's regulated operations.

Deferred purchased power costs represent costs necessitated by outages at two of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company's (SCE&G) base load generating plants in winter 2000-2001. The SCPSC approved recovery of these costs in base rates over a three-year period beginning January 2005.

Environmental remediation costs represent costs associated with the assessment and clean-up of manufactured gas plant (MGP) sites currently or formerly owned by the Company. Costs incurred at sites owned by SCE&G are being recovered through rates, of which \$17.5 million remain to be recovered. Costs incurred through June 30, 2006, at sites owned by Public Service Company of North Carolina, Incorporated (PSNC Energy) are being recovered through rates over a three-year period. In addition, management believes that costs incurred subsequent to June 30, 2006, totaling \$0.9 million at March 31, 2007, and the estimated remaining costs of \$6.8 million, will be recoverable by PSNC Energy through rates.

Asset retirement obligations (ARO) and related funding represents the regulatory asset associated with the legal obligation to decommission and dismantle V. C. Summer Nuclear Station (Summer Station) and conditional AROs recorded as required by SFAS 143, "*Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations*," and Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation (FIN) 47, "*Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations*."

Franchise agreements represent costs associated with electric and gas franchise agreements with the cities of Charleston and Columbia, South Carolina. Based on an SCPSC order, SCE&G began amortizing these amounts through cost of service rates in February 2003 over approximately 20 years.

Deferred regional transmission organization costs represent costs incurred by SCE&G in the United States Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC)-mandated formation of GridSouth. The project was suspended in 2002. Effective January 2005, the SCPSC approved the amortization of these amounts through cost of service rates over approximately five years.

Deferred employee benefit plan costs represent pension and other postretirement benefit costs which were accrued as liabilities under provision of SFAS 158, "*Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans*," but which are expected to be recovered through utility rates.

Other asset removal costs represent net collections through depreciation rates of estimated costs to be incurred for the future removal of assets.

The storm damage reserve represents an SCPSC-approved collection through SCE&G electric rates, capped at \$50 million, which can be applied to offset incremental storm damage costs in excess of \$2.5 million in a calendar year. For the three months ended March 31, 2007, no amounts were drawn from this reserve.

Planned major maintenance related to certain fossil and hydro-turbine equipment and nuclear refueling outages is accrued in advance of the time the costs are incurred, as approved through specific SCPSC orders. SCE&G is allowed to collect \$8.5 million annually over an eight-year period, beginning in January 2005, through electric rates to offset turbine maintenance expenditures. Nuclear refueling charges are accrued during each 18-month refueling outage cycle as a component of cost of service and do not receive special rate consideration.

The SCPSC and the NCUC (collectively, state commissions) have reviewed and approved through specific orders most of the items shown as regulatory assets. Other regulatory assets represent costs which have not been approved for recovery by a state commission. In recording these costs as regulatory assets, management believes the costs will be allowable under existing rate-making concepts that are embodied in rate orders received by the Company. However, ultimate recovery is subject to state commission approval. In the future, as a result of deregulation or other changes in the regulatory environment, the Company may no longer meet the criteria for continued application of SFAS 71 and could be required to write off its regulatory assets and liabilities. Such an event could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations, liquidity or financial position in the period the write-off would be recorded.

B. Earnings Per Share

In accordance with SFAS 128, "*Earnings Per Share*," the Company computes basic earnings per share by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. The Company computes diluted earnings per share using this same formula, after giving effect to securities considered to be dilutive potential common stock. The Company uses the treasury stock method in determining total dilutive potential common stock. The Company has no securities that would have an antidilutive effect on earnings per share.

C. Affiliated Transactions

SCE&G holds equity-method investments in two partnerships involved in converting coal to synthetic fuel. SCE&G's receivables from these affiliated companies were \$28.1 million at March 31, 2007 and \$31.8 million at December 31, 2006. SCE&G's payables to these affiliated companies were \$25.8 million at March 31, 2007 and \$26.6 million at December 31, 2006. SCE&G purchased \$70.6 million and \$64.8 million of synthetic fuel from these affiliated companies for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

SCE&G purchases shaft horsepower from a cogeneration facility. The facility is a limited liability company (LLC) held by SCANA as an equity-method investment. SCE&G's payables to the LLC were \$2.5 million at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006. SCE&G purchased \$7.3 million and \$6.3 million of shaft horsepower from the LLC for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

D. Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

Components of net periodic benefit income or cost recorded by the Company were as follows:

| Millions of dollars | Pension Benefits | | Other Postretirement Benefits | |
|---|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 | 2006 |
| <i>Three months ended March 31,</i> | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 3.5 | \$ 3.5 | \$ 1.2 | \$ 1.2 |
| Interest cost | 10.3 | 9.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| Expected return on assets | (20.1) | (18.8) | - | - |
| Prior service cost amortization | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Transition obligation amortization | - | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | - | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Net periodic benefit (income) cost | \$ (4.6) | \$ (3.4) | \$ 5.0 | \$ 4.7 |

E. New Accounting Matters

SFAS 159, *"The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities,"* was issued in February 2007. SFAS 159 allows entities to measure at fair value many financial instruments and certain other assets and liabilities that are not otherwise required to be measured at fair value. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company has not determined what impact, if any, that adoption will have on the Company's results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

SFAS 157, *"Fair Value Measurements,"* was issued in September 2006. SFAS 157 establishes a framework for measuring fair value to increase the consistency and comparability in fair value measurements. The Company will adopt SFAS 157 in the first quarter of 2008, and does not expect that the initial adoption will have a material impact on the Company's results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

FIN 48, *"Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes,"* clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS 109, *"Accounting for Income Taxes."* FIN 48 prescribes financial statement recognition threshold and measurement attributes for tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. The Company adopted FIN 48 in the first quarter of 2007. The impact on the Company's results of operations, cash flows or financial position is discussed at Note 1F.

FASB Staff Position (FSP) AUG AIR-1 *"Accounting for Planned Major Maintenance Activities,"* amended APB 28, *"Interim Financial Reporting,"* to prohibit the use of the accrue-in-advance method of accounting for planned major maintenance. As disclosed in Note 1A, the Company has received specific SCPSC orders providing for use of accrue-in-advance accounting for certain planned major maintenance activities. Accordingly, the Company will continue to follow accrue-in-advance accounting as allowed under SFAS 71 for these activities. The Company's adoption of FSP AUG AIR-1 in the first quarter of 2007 did not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

F. Income Taxes

The Company files a consolidated federal income tax return and the Company and its subsidiaries file various applicable state and local income tax returns. The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) has completed examinations of the Company's federal returns through 2004, and the Company's federal returns through 2001 are closed for additional assessment. With a few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to state and local income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2003. The IRS is currently examining S. C. Coaltech No. 1 LP., a synthetic fuel partnership in which the Company has an interest, for the 2004 tax year. The Company does not anticipate that any adjustments which might result from the examination will have a material impact on the earnings, cash flows or the financial position of the Company. The Company continues to believe that all of its synthetic fuel tax credits have been properly claimed.

In connection with the initial adoption of FIN 48, the Company removed \$15 million of previously recognized tax benefits from its balance sheet. Because regulatory liabilities had been recorded for these previously recognized tax benefits under the provisions of SFAS 71, these benefits had never been recognized in the Company's earnings or retained earnings. As a result, the initial adoption of FIN 48 had no effect on the Company's equity. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate is \$15 million. Because the benefits would be amortized into earnings over a number of years under SFAS 71, the impact on any individual year's effective tax rate would be immaterial.

The Company recognizes interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits within interest expense and recognizes tax penalties within other expenses. As of March 31, 2007, the Company has not accrued any interest or tax penalties.

2. RATE AND OTHER REGULATORY MATTERS

SCE&G

Electric

SCE&G's rates are established using a cost of fuel component approved by the SCPSC which may be modified periodically to reflect changes in the price of fuel purchased by SCE&G. SCE&G's cost of fuel component was as follows:

| Rate Per KWh | Effective Date |
|--------------|---------------------|
| \$.02256 | January-April 2006 |
| .02516 | May 2006-March 2007 |

In connection with the May 2006 fuel component increase, SCE&G agreed to spread the recovery of previously under-collected fuel costs of \$38.5 million over a two-year period.

Gas

In June 2006, SCE&G reported to the SCPSC that its return on common equity for the twelve months ended March 31, 2006 was more than 0.5 percent below the allowed return, and as provided under South Carolina's Natural Gas Rate Stabilization Act, SCE&G requested an increase in certain natural gas base rates. In September 2006, the SCPSC approved an annual increase of \$17.4 million. The rate adjustment was effective with the first billing cycle in November 2006.

SCE&G's rates are established using a cost of gas component approved by the SCPSC in October 2006 which authorized SCE&G to adjust its cost of gas on a monthly, rather than an annual, basis beginning in December 2006. SCE&G's cost of gas components by class were as follows (rate per therm):

| Effective Date | Residential | Small/Medium | Large |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| January 2006 | \$1.297 | \$1.222 | \$1.198 |
| February-October 2006 | 1.227 | 1.152 | 1.128 |
| November 2006 | 1.115 | 1.004 | .963 |
| December 2006 | 1.240 | 1.130 | 1.090 |
| January 2007 | 1.238 | 1.162 | 1.130 |
| February 2007 | 1.137 | 1.069 | 1.039 |
| March 2007 | 1.128 | 1.078 | 1.060 |

PSNC Energy

PSNC Energy's rates are established using a benchmark cost of gas approved by the NCUC, which may be modified periodically to reflect changes in the market price of natural gas. PSNC Energy revises its tariffs as necessary to track these changes and accounts for any over- or under-collections of the delivered cost of gas in its deferred accounts for subsequent rate consideration. The NCUC reviews PSNC Energy's gas purchasing practices annually.

PSNC Energy's benchmark cost of gas was as follows:

| Rate Per Therm | Effective Date |
|----------------|---------------------|
| \$1.075 | January 2006 |
| 0.875 | February 2006 |
| 0.825 | March-December 2006 |
| 0.750 | January-March 2007 |

In October 2006, the NCUC granted PSNC Energy an annual increase in retail natural gas margin revenues of approximately \$15.2 million, or 2.6 percent, which was offset by a \$9.2 million decrease in fixed-gas cost revenues, for an overall increase of \$6.0 million, or 1.0 percent. The new rates are based on an allowed overall rate of return of 8.9 percent, and became effective for services rendered on or after November 1, 2006. In connection with the rate increase, the NCUC approved PSNC Energy's recovery through rates, over a three-year period, of certain costs for environmental remediation and pipeline integrity management.

On April 18, 2007 PSNC Energy filed a request with the North Carolina Utilities Commission to eliminate the use of its current dual residential customer rate structure and replace it with a single residential rate. The dual residential rate structure, while commonly used and widely accepted in the industry as a means to fairly assign costs, had created the unintended perception among some customers that PSNC Energy was discouraging the wise use of energy by requiring a specified minimum amount of gas usage during the summer months in order to qualify for the lower of the two rate offerings. If approved, this change in our rate structure will have no impact on our 2007 earnings, as any revenue differences will be deferred for subsequent rate consideration.

3. LONG-TERM DEBT

On February 15, 2007 SCANA redeemed at maturity \$25 million of its medium-term notes which bore interest at 6.9%.

Substantially all of SCE&G's and South Carolina Generating Company, Inc.'s (GENCO) electric utility plant is pledged as collateral in connection with long-term debt.

4. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company utilizes various financial derivatives, including those designated as cash flow hedges related to natural gas. The Company also utilizes swap agreements to manage interest rate risk. These transactions are more fully described in Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements in SCANA's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

At March 31, 2007 the estimated fair value of the Company's swaps totaled \$0.3 million (gain) related to combined notional amounts of \$19.2 million.

The Company's regulated gas operations (SCE&G and PSNC Energy) hedge gas purchasing activities using over-the-counter options and swaps and New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) futures and options. SCE&G's tariffs include a purchased gas adjustment (PGA) clause that provide for the recovery of actual gas costs incurred. The SCPSC has ruled that the results of these hedging activities are to be included in the PGA. As such, costs of related derivatives utilized to hedge gas purchasing activities are recoverable through the weighted average cost of gas calculation. The offset to the change in fair value of these derivatives is recorded as a regulatory asset or liability. PSNC Energy's tariffs also include a provision for the recovery of actual gas costs incurred. PSNC Energy records premiums, transaction fees, margin requirements and any realized and unrealized gains or losses from its hedging program in deferred accounts as a regulatory asset or liability for the over- or under-recovery of gas costs.

The Company's nonregulated gas operations recognize gains and losses as a result of qualifying cash flow hedges whose hedged transactions occur during the reporting period and record them, net of taxes, in cost of gas. Because these losses resulted from hedging activities, their effects were necessarily offset by the recording of the related hedged transactions. The Company estimates that most of the March 31, 2007 unrealized loss balance of \$0.4 million, net of taxes, will be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) to earnings in 2007 as an increase to gas cost if market prices remain at current levels. As of March 31, 2007, all of the Company's cash flow hedges settle by their terms before the end of April 2009.

PSNC Energy utilizes asset management and supply service agreements with counterparties for certain of its natural gas storage facilities. At March 31, 2007, such counterparties held 35% of PSNC Energy's natural gas inventory, with a carrying value of \$13.4 million, through either capacity release or agency relationships. Under the terms of the asset management agreements, PSNC Energy receives storage asset management fees and, in certain instances, a share of profits. No fees are received under supply service agreements. The agreements expire at various times through October 31, 2007.

5. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Reference is made to Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements appearing in SCANA's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. Commitments and contingencies at March 31, 2007 include the following:

A. Nuclear Insurance

The Price-Anderson Indemnification Act deals with public liability for a nuclear incident and establishes the liability limit for third-party claims associated with any nuclear incident at \$10.5 billion. Each reactor licensee is currently liable for up to \$100.6 million per reactor owned for each nuclear incident occurring at any reactor in the United States, provided that not more than \$15 million of the liability per reactor would be assessed per year. SCE&G's maximum assessment, based on its two-thirds ownership of Summer Station, would be \$67.1 million per incident, but not more than \$10 million per year.

SCE&G currently maintains policies (for itself and on behalf of Santee Cooper, the one-third owner of Summer Station) with Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited. The policies, covering the nuclear facility for property damage, excess property damage and outage costs, permit retrospective assessments under certain conditions to cover insurer's losses. Based on the current annual premium, SCE&G's portion of the retrospective premium assessment would not exceed \$14.1 million.

To the extent that insurable claims for property damage, decontamination, repair and replacement and other costs and expenses arising from a nuclear incident at Summer Station exceed the policy limits of insurance, or to the extent such insurance becomes unavailable in the future, and to the extent that SCE&G's rates would not recover the cost of any purchased replacement power, SCE&G will retain the risk of loss as a self-insurer. SCE&G has no reason to anticipate a serious nuclear incident at Summer Station. However, if such an incident were to occur, it would have a material adverse impact on the Company's results of operations, cash flows and financial position.

B. Environmental

SCE&G

In March 2005, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issued a final rule known as the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR). CAIR requires the District of Columbia and 28 states, including South Carolina, to reduce nitrogen oxide and sulfur dioxide emissions in order to attain mandated state levels. SCE&G has petitioned the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit to review CAIR. Several other electric utilities have filed separate petitions. The petitioners seek a change in the method CAIR uses to allocate sulfur dioxide emission allowances to a method the petitioners believe is more equitable. The Company believes that installation of additional air quality controls will be needed to meet the CAIR requirements, although compliance plans and cost to comply with the rule have not been determined. Such costs will be material and are expected to be recoverable through rates.

In March 2005, the EPA issued a final rule establishing a mercury emissions cap and trade program for coal-fired power plants that requires limits to be met in two phases, in 2010 and 2018. Although the Company expects to be able to meet the Phase I limits through those measures it already will be taking to meet its CAIR obligations, it is uncertain as to how the Phase II limits will be met. Assuming Phase II limits remain unchanged, installation of additional air quality controls likely will be required to comply with the rule's Phase II mercury emission caps. Final compliance plans and costs to comply with the rule are still under review. Such costs will be material and are expected to be recoverable through rates.

SCE&G has been named, along with 53 others, by the EPA as a potentially responsible party (PRP) at the Alternate Energy Resources, Inc. (AER) Superfund site located in Augusta, Georgia. The EPA placed the site on the National Priorities List on April 19, 2006. AER conducted hazardous waste storage and treatment operations from 1975 to 2000, when the site was abandoned. While operational, AER processed fuels from waste oils, treated industrial coolants and oil/water emulsions, recycled solvents and blended hazardous waste fuels. During that time, SCE&G occasionally used AER for the processing of waste solvents, oily rags and oily wastewater. The EPA and the State of Georgia have documented that a release or releases have occurred at the site leading to contamination of groundwater, surface water and soils. The EPA and the State of Georgia have conducted a preliminary assessment and site inspection. The site has not been remediated nor has a clean-up cost been estimated. Although a basis for the allocation of clean-up costs among the PRPs is unclear, SCE&G does not believe that its involvement at this site would result in an allocation of costs that would have a material adverse impact on its results of operations, cash flows or financial condition. Any cost allocated to SCE&G arising from the remediation of this site, net of insurance recoveries, if any, is expected to be recoverable through rates.

SCE&G has been named, along with 29 others, by the EPA as a PRP at the Carolina Transformer Superfund site located in Fayetteville, North Carolina. The Carolina Transformer Company (CTC) conducted an electrical transformer rebuilding and repair operation at the site from approximately 1959 to 1986. During that time, SCE&G occasionally used CTC for the repair of existing transformers, purchase of new transformers and sale of used transformers. In 1984, the EPA initiated a remediation of PCB-contaminated soil and groundwater at the site. The EPA reports that it has spent \$36 million to date. SCE&G does not believe that its involvement at this site would result in an allocation of costs that would have a material adverse impact on its results of operations, cash flows or financial condition. Any cost allocated to SCE&G arising from the remediation of this site, net of insurance recoveries, if any, is expected to be recoverable through rates.

SCE&G maintains an environmental assessment program to identify and evaluate current and former sites that could require environmental clean-up. As site assessments are initiated, estimates are made of the amount of expenditures, if any, deemed necessary to investigate and remediate each site. These estimates are refined as additional information becomes available; therefore, actual expenditures could differ significantly from the original estimates. Amounts estimated and accrued to date for site assessments and clean-up relate solely to regulated operations. SCE&G defers site assessment and clean-up costs and recovers them through rates (see Note 1). Deferred amounts, net of amounts previously recovered through rates and insurance settlements, totaled \$17.5 million at March 31, 2007. The deferral includes the estimated costs associated with the following matters.

SCE&G owns a decommissioned MGP site in the Calhoun Park area of Charleston, South Carolina. SCE&G anticipates that remediation for contamination at the site will be completed in late 2007, with certain monitoring and retreatment activities continuing through 2012. As of March 31, 2007, SCE&G had spent \$22.3 million to remediate the site and expects to spend an additional \$1.1 million prior to entering a monitoring and reporting stage. In addition, the National Park Service of the Department of the Interior made an initial demand to SCE&G for payment of \$9.1 million for certain costs and damages relating to this site. SCE&G expects to recover any cost arising from the remediation of this site through rates.

SCE&G owns three other decommissioned MGP sites in South Carolina which contain residues of by-product chemicals. One of the sites has been remediated and will undergo routine monitoring until released by the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC). The other sites are currently being investigated under work plans approved by DHEC. SCE&G anticipates that major remediation activities for the three sites will be completed by 2011. As of March 31, 2007, SCE&G has spent \$4.8 million related to these three sites, and expects to spend an additional \$11.2 million. SCE&G expects to recover any cost arising from the remediation of these sites through rates.

PSNC Energy

PSNC Energy is responsible for environmental clean-up at five sites in North Carolina on which MGP residuals are present or suspected. PSNC Energy's actual remediation costs for these sites will depend on a number of factors, such as actual site conditions, third-party claims and recoveries from other PRPs. PSNC Energy has recorded a liability and associated regulatory asset of \$6.8 million, which reflects its estimated remaining liability at March 31, 2007. PSNC Energy expects to recover any cost allocable to PSNC Energy arising from the remediation of these sites through rates.

C. Claims and Litigation

In August 2003, SCE&G was served as a co-defendant in a purported class action lawsuit styled as *Collins v. Duke Energy Corporation, Progress Energy Services Company, and South Carolina Electric & Gas Company* in South Carolina's Circuit Court of Common Pleas for the Fifth Judicial Circuit. Since that time, the plaintiffs have dismissed defendants Duke Energy and Progress Energy and are proceeding against SCE&G only. The plaintiffs are seeking damages for the alleged improper use of electric transmission and distribution easements but have not asserted a dollar amount for their claims. Specifically, the plaintiffs contend that the licensing of attachments on electric utility poles, towers and other facilities to nonutility third parties or telecommunication companies for other than the electric utility's internal use along the electric transmission and distribution line rights-of-way constitutes a trespass. It is anticipated that this case may not go to trial before 2008. SCANA and SCE&G intend to mount a vigorous defense. SCANA and SCE&G further believe that the resolution of these claims will not have a material adverse impact on their results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

In May 2004, SCANA and SCE&G were served with a purported class action lawsuit styled as *Douglas E. Gressette, individually and on behalf of other persons similarly situated v. South Carolina Electric & Gas Company and SCANA Corporation*. The case was filed in South Carolina's Circuit Court of Common Pleas for the Ninth Judicial Circuit. The plaintiff alleges that SCANA and SCE&G made improper use of certain easements and rights-of-way by allowing fiber optic communication lines and/or wireless communication equipment to transmit communications other than SCANA's and SCE&G's electricity-related internal communications. The plaintiff asserted causes of action for unjust enrichment, trespass, injunction and declaratory judgment. The plaintiff did not assert a specific dollar amount for the claims. SCANA and SCE&G believe their actions are consistent with governing law and the applicable documents granting easements and rights-of-way. The Circuit Court granted SCANA's and SCE&G's motion to dismiss and issued an order dismissing the case in June 2005. The plaintiff appealed to the South Carolina Supreme Court. The Supreme Court overruled the Circuit Court in October 2006 and returned the case to the Circuit Court for further consideration. It is anticipated that this case may not go to trial before 2008. SCANA and SCE&G will continue to mount a vigorous defense and believe that the resolution of these claims will not have a material adverse impact on their results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

A complaint was filed in October 2003 against SCE&G by the State of South Carolina alleging that SCE&G violated the Unfair Trade Practices Act by charging municipal franchise fees to some customers residing outside a municipality's limits. The complaint sought restitution to all affected customers and penalties of up to \$5,000 for each separate violation. The claim against SCE&G has been settled by an agreement between the parties, and the settlement has been approved by South Carolina's Circuit Court of Common Pleas for the Fifth Judicial Circuit. In addition, SCE&G filed a petition with the SCPSC in October 2003 pursuant to S. C. Code Ann. R.103-836. The petition requests that the SCPSC exercise its jurisdiction to investigate the operation of the municipal franchise fee collection requirements applicable to SCE&G's electric and gas service, to approve SCE&G's efforts to correct any past franchise fee billing errors, to adopt improvements in the system which will reduce such errors in the future, and to adopt any regulation that the SCPSC deems just and proper to regulate the franchise fee collection process. A hearing on this petition has not been scheduled. The Company believes that the resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse impact on its results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

The Company is also engaged in various other claims and litigation incidental to its business operations which management anticipates will be resolved without a material adverse impact on the Company's results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

6. SEGMENT OF BUSINESS INFORMATION

The Company's reportable segments are listed in the following table. The Company uses operating income to measure profitability for its regulated operations; therefore, net income is not allocated to the Electric Operations, Gas Distribution and Gas Transmission segments. The Company uses net income to measure profitability for its Retail Gas Marketing and Energy Marketing segments. Gas Distribution is comprised of the local distribution operations of SCE&G and PSNC Energy which meet SFAS 131 criteria for aggregation. All Other includes equity method investments and other nonreportable segments.

Gas Transmission is comprised of Carolina Gas Transmission Corporation (CGTC) which, effective November 1, 2006, began operating as an open access, transportation-only interstate pipeline company regulated by FERC. For prior periods, Gas Transmission was comprised of South Carolina Pipeline Corporation (SCPC). Effective November 1, 2006, SCG Pipeline, Inc. (SCG Pipeline) (previously reported in All Other) was merged into SCPC and the company's name was changed to CGTC. Prior to the merger, SCPC purchased, transported and sold natural gas intrastate and SCG Pipeline transported natural gas interstate. The results of CGTC, SCPC and SCG Pipeline appear in the Gas Transmission segment for all periods presented.

| Millions of dollars | External Revenue | Intersegment Revenue | Operating Income | Net Income (Loss) | Segment Assets |
|--|------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| <i>Three Months Ended March 31, 2007</i> | | | | | |
| Electric Operations | \$ 443 | \$ 2 | \$ 56 | n/a | \$ 5,617 |
| Gas Distribution | 433 | - | 74 | n/a | 1,801 |
| Gas Transmission | 2 | 11 | 5 | n/a | 287 |
| Retail Gas Marketing | 241 | - | n/a | \$ 18 | 186 |
| Energy Marketing | 244 | 65 | n/a | - | 108 |
| All Other | 18 | 85 | n/a | (4) | 541 |
| Adjustments/Eliminations | (18) | (163) | 28 | 72 | 1,064 |
| Consolidated Total | \$ 1,363 | \$ - | \$ 163 | \$ 86 | \$ 9,604 |
| <i>Three Months Ended March 31, 2006</i> | | | | | |
| Electric Operations | \$ 399 | \$ 1 | \$ 91 | n/a | \$ 5,408 |
| Gas Distribution | 446 | - | 60 | n/a | 1,688 |
| Gas Transmission | 68 | 155 | 9 | n/a | 373 |
| Retail Gas Marketing | 271 | - | n/a | \$ 21 | 224 |
| Energy Marketing | 205 | 11 | n/a | - | 69 |
| All Other | 15 | 73 | n/a | (4) | 494 |
| Adjustments/Eliminations | (15) | (240) | 25 | 81 | 1,104 |
| Consolidated Total | \$ 1,389 | \$ - | \$ 185 | \$ 98 | \$ 9,360 |

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

SCANA CORPORATION

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations appearing in SCANA Corporation's (SCANA, and together with its consolidated subsidiaries, the Company) Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2007 AS COMPARED TO THE CORRESPONDING PERIOD IN 2006

Earnings Per Share

The Company's earnings are reported in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Management believes that, in addition to reported earnings under GAAP, the Company's GAAP-adjusted net earnings from operations provides a meaningful representation of its fundamental earnings power and can aid in performing period-over-period financial analysis and comparison with peer group data. In management's opinion, GAAP-adjusted net earnings from operations is a useful indicator of the financial results of the Company's primary businesses. This measure is also a basis for management's provision of earnings guidance and growth projections, and it is used by management in making resource allocation and other budgetary and operational decisions. This non-GAAP performance measure is not intended to replace the GAAP measure of net earnings, but is offered as a supplement to it. A reconciliation of reported (GAAP) earnings per share to GAAP-adjusted net earnings from operations per share is provided in the table below:

| | First Quarter | |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Reported (GAAP) earnings per share: | \$.73 | \$.85 |
| Deduct: | | |
| Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of taxes | - | .05 |
| GAAP-adjusted net earnings per share from operations | \$.73 | \$.80 |

Discussion of adjustment

The cumulative effect of accounting change resulted from the Company's adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (revised 2004), "*Share-Based Payment*" (SFAS 123®).

Management believes that the adjustment is appropriate in determining the non-GAAP financial performance measure. Management utilizes such measure in exercising budgetary control, managing business operations and determining eligibility for certain incentive compensation payments. The non-GAAP measure, GAAP-adjusted net earnings per share from operations, provides a consistent basis upon which to measure performance by excluding the cumulative effect on per share earnings of the accounting change resulting from the Company's adoption of SFAS 123(R).

First Quarter

GAAP-adjusted net earnings per share from operations decreased primarily due to lower electric margin of \$.01, increased operation and maintenance expenses of \$.09, increased depreciation expense of \$.02, increased property taxes of \$.01 and the effects of dilution of \$.01. These increases were partially offset by higher natural gas margin of \$.08. Accelerated depreciation on the Lake Murray back-up dam and recognition of synthetic fuel tax credits and related items had no effect on net income, as discussed at Income Tax-s - *Recognition of Synthetic Fuel Tax Credits*.

Dividends Declared

The Company's Board of Directors has declared the following dividends on common stock during 2007:

| Declaration Date | Dividend Per Share | Record Date | Payment Date |
|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|
| February 15, 2007 | \$.44 | March 9, 2007 | April 1, 2007 |
| April 26, 2007 | .44 | June 11, 2007 | July 1, 2007 |

Electric Operations

Electric Operations is comprised of the electric operations of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (SCE&G), South Carolina Generating Company, Inc. (GENCO) and South Carolina Fuel Company, Inc. Electric operations sales margin (including transactions with affiliates) was as follows:

| Millions of dollars | First Quarter | | |
|--|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | 2007 | % Change | 2006 |
| Operating revenues | \$ 442.7 | 11.0% | \$ 398.7 |
| Less: Fuel used in electric generation | 155.9 | 32.7% | 117.5 |
| Purchased power | 11.3 | * | 3.7 |
| <u>Margin</u> | <u>\$ 275.5</u> | <u>(0.7)%</u> | <u>\$ 277.5</u> |

*Greater than 100%

Margin decreased by \$10.7 million due to decreased off-system sales, partially offset by residential and commercial customer growth of \$7.9 million.

Gas Distribution

Gas Distribution is comprised of the local distribution operations of SCE&G and Public Service Company of North Carolina, Incorporated (PSNC Energy). Gas distribution sales margin (including transactions with affiliates) was as follows:

| Millions of dollars | First Quarter | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|
| | 2007 | % Change | 2006 |
| Operating revenues | \$ 433.0 | (2.9)% | \$ 445.8 |
| Less: Gas purchased for resale | 303.5 | (9.6)% | 335.7 |
| <u>Margin</u> | <u>\$ 129.5</u> | <u>17.6%</u> | <u>\$ 110.1</u> |

Margin at SCE&G increased by \$7.5 million due to the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (SCPSC)-approved increase in retail gas base rates effective with the first billing cycle in November 2006. Margin at PSNC Energy increased by \$5.0 million due to the NCUC-approved rate increase effective for services rendered on or after November 1, 2006, by \$2.0 million due to customer growth and by \$2.0 million due to lower conservation which resulted in higher usage.

Gas Transmission

Gas Transmission is comprised of the operations of Carolina Gas Transmission Corporation (CGTC) and, for periods prior to the name change and merger, South Carolina Pipeline Corporation and SCG Pipeline, Inc., for all periods presented. Gas transmission sales margin (including transactions with affiliates) was as follows:

| Millions of dollars | First Quarter | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 2007 | % Change | 2006 |
| Transportation revenue | \$ 12.2 | * | \$ 5.4 |
| Other operating revenues | 0.9 | ** | 218.2 |
| Less: Gas purchased for resale | - | ** | 206.5 |
| <u>Margin</u> | <u>\$ 13.1</u> | <u>(23.4)%</u> | <u>\$ 17.1</u> |

*Greater than 100%

**Change not meaningful due to change

Transportation revenue increased as a result of the change to an open access, transportation-only interstate pipeline company effective November 1, 2006. As a result of this change, CGTC no longer earns commodity gas revenues, nor does it incur gas cost.

Retail Gas Marketing

Retail Gas Marketing is comprised of SCANA Energy, which operates in Georgia's natural gas market. Retail Gas Marketing operating revenues and net income were as follows:

| Millions of dollars | First Quarter | | |
|---------------------|---------------|----------|----------|
| | 2007 | % Change | 2006 |
| Operating revenues | \$ 240.8 | (11.0)% | \$ 270.6 |
| Net income | 18.3 | (14.5)% | 21.4 |

Operating revenues decreased primarily as a result of lower average retail prices which were partially offset by slightly higher volume. Net income decreased primarily due to higher bad debt expense of \$2.1 million and lower margin of \$1.3 million.

Energy Marketing

Energy Marketing is comprised of the Company's non-regulated marketing operations, excluding SCANA Energy. Energy Marketing operating revenues and net income (loss) were as follows:

| Millions of dollars | 2007 | First Quarter % Change | 2006 |
|---------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|
| Operating revenues | \$ 308.4 | 42.8% | \$ 215.9 |
| Net income (loss) | (0.1) | - | - |

Operating revenues increased primarily as a result of customer growth. Net income decreased primarily due to increased operating expenses of \$0.6 million, which were partially offset by increased margins.

Other Operating Expenses

Other operating expenses arising from the operating segments previously discussed were as follows:

| Millions of dollars | 2007 | First Quarter % Change | 2006 |
|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|
| Other operation and maintenance | \$ 174.0 | 11.1 % | \$ 156.6 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 91.1 | 19.4 % | 76.3 |
| Other taxes | 40.9 | 5.7 % | 38.7 |

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased by \$9.3 million due to higher electric generation, transmission and distribution expenses and by \$3.8 million due to higher incentive compensation and other benefit costs. Depreciation and amortization expense increased by \$11.8 million due to lower estimated phase-out of synthetic fuel tax credits related to the back-up dam at Lake Murray (see *Income Taxes-Recognition of Synthetic Fuel Tax Credits*) and by \$2.9 million due to net property additions. Other taxes increased due to higher property taxes.

Other Income (Expense)

Other income (expense) includes the results of certain incidental (non-utility) activities and the activities of certain non-regulated subsidiaries. Other income (expense) declined in 2007 compared to 2006 primarily due to lower carrying cost recognition on the unrecovered balance of the Lake Murray back-up dam project and the termination of a contract at the U. S. Department of Energy's Savannah River Site.

Income Taxes

Income tax expense decreased primarily due to changes in operating income and due to the recognition at SCE&G of \$11.4 million in synthetic fuel tax credits during the first quarter of 2007 compared to \$5.0 million during the same period in 2006.

Recognition of Synthetic Fuel Tax Credits

SCE&G holds equity-method investments in two partnerships involved in converting coal to synthetic fuel, the use of which fuel qualifies for federal income tax credits. Under an accounting methodology approved by SCPSC in a January 2005 order, construction costs related to the Lake Murray back-up dam project are recorded in utility plant in service in a special dam remediation account, outside of rate base, and depreciation is being recognized against the balance in this account on an accelerated basis, subject to the availability of the synthetic fuel tax credits.

The level of depreciation expense and related tax benefit recognized in the income statement is equal to the available synthetic fuel tax credits, less partnership losses and other expenses, net of taxes. As a result, the balance of unrecovered costs in the dam remediation account declines as accelerated depreciation is recorded. Although these entries collectively have no impact on consolidated net income, they can have a significant impact on individual line items within the income statement. The accelerated depreciation, synthetic fuel tax credits, partnership losses and the income tax benefit arising from such losses recognized by SCE&G during the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 are as follows:

| Millions of dollars | First Quarter | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | \$ (12.0) | \$ (0.2) |
| Income tax benefits: | | |
| From synthetic fuel tax credits | 10.9 | 3.3 |
| From accelerated depreciation | 4.6 | 0.1 |
| From partnership losses | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| Total income tax benefits | 17.6 | 5.4 |
| Losses from Equity Method Investments | (5.6) | (5.2) |
| Impact on Net Income | \$ - | \$ - |

Depreciation on the Lake Murray back-up dam remediation account will be matched to available synthetic fuel tax credits on a quarterly basis until the balance in the dam remediation account is zero or until all of the available synthetic fuel tax credits have been utilized. The synthetic fuel tax credit program expires at the end of 2007.

The availability of the synthetic fuel tax credits is dependent on several factors, one of which is the average annual domestic wellhead price per barrel of crude oil as published by the U.S. Government. Under a phase-out provision included in the program, if the domestic wellhead reference price of oil per barrel for a given year is below an inflation-adjusted benchmark range for that year, all of the synthetic fuel tax credits that have been generated in that year would be available for use. If that price is above the benchmark range, none of the tax credits would be available. If that price falls within the benchmark range, a calculated portion of the credits would be available.

The benchmark price range for 2006, published in April 2007, is \$55 to \$69 per barrel, resulting in a phase-out of 33 percent. SCE&G's analysis indicates that the available synthetic fuel tax credits for 2007 also are likely to be impacted by the phase-out calculation. As such, through March 2007 the Company recorded synthetic fuel tax credits and applied those credits to allow the recording of accelerated depreciation related to the balance in the dam remediation project account based on an estimate that only 94 percent of credits generated in 2007 will be available (phase-out of 6 percent). The Company cannot predict what impact, if any, the price of oil may have on the Company's ability to earn and utilize synthetic fuel tax credits in the future. However, there is significant uncertainty as to the continued availability of the credits in 2007. The availability of these synthetic fuel tax credits is also subject to coal availability and other operational risks related to the generating plants.

The Company does not expect available credits to be sufficient to fully recover the construction costs of dam remediation, and the total unrecovered cost at the end of 2007 may be significant. To the extent that available credits are not sufficient to fully recover the construction costs of the dam remediation, regulatory action to allow recovery of those remaining costs will likely be sought. As of March 31, 2007, remaining unrecovered costs, based on management's recording of accelerated depreciation and related tax benefits, were \$60.0 million.

Finally, SCANA, through a subsidiary, provides management and maintenance services for a non-affiliated synthetic fuel production facility. Reduced synthetic fuel tax credit availability under the above phase-out provisions also adversely impacts the level of payment SCANA receives for these services.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company anticipates that its contractual cash obligations will be met through internally generated funds, the incurrence of additional short- and long-term indebtedness and sales of equity securities. The Company expects that it has or can obtain adequate sources of financing to meet its projected cash requirements for the foreseeable future. The Company's ratio of earnings to fixed charges for the 12 months ended March 31, 2007 was 2.81.

Cash requirements for the Company's regulated subsidiaries arise primarily from their operational needs, funding their construction programs and payment of dividends to SCANA. The ability of the regulated subsidiaries to replace existing plant investment, to expand to meet future demand for electricity and gas and to install equipment necessary to comply with environmental regulations will depend on their ability to attract the necessary financial capital on reasonable terms. Regulated subsidiaries recover the costs of providing services through rates charged to customers. Rates for regulated services are generally based on historical costs. As customer growth and inflation occur and these subsidiaries continue their ongoing construction programs, rate increases will be sought. The future financial position and results of operations of the regulated subsidiaries will be affected by their ability to obtain adequate and timely rate and other regulatory relief, if requested.

SCE&G expects to add additional base load electric generation in the 2015 to 2016 timeframe. Based on an evaluation of alternatives, SCE&G and Santee Cooper, a state-owned utility in South Carolina (joint owners of Summer Station) have selected the Summer Station site as the preferred site for new nuclear generation. Due to the significant lead time required for construction of nuclear generation, the joint owners are preparing an application to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for a combined construction and operating license (COL) that would cover two new nuclear units. The COL application, which is expected to be completed and filed in 2007, would be reviewed by the NRC for an estimated three years. While SCE&G's current plans are to pursue the development of one or both of these nuclear units, these plans will continue to be influenced by many factors, including NRC licensing attainment, ongoing evaluation of relative construction and operating costs, the cost of competing fuels, regulatory and environmental requirements and financial market conditions.

In May 2007, the Base Load Review Act (the Act) became law in South Carolina. This law is intended to allow a utility to recover prudently incurred capital and operating costs associated with new nuclear or coal-fired base load electric generating facilities larger than 350 megawatts. Based on an application filed by the utility under the Act, the SCPSC would review and rule on the prudence of the decision to build the plant. If the decision was found to be prudent, that finding would be binding on all future proceedings so long as the plant is constructed in accordance with the schedules, estimates and projections set forth in the approved application. In addition, beginning with the initial proceeding, the utility would be allowed to file revised rates with the SCPSC each year to incorporate any nuclear construction work in progress incurred. Requested rate adjustments would be based on the utility's updated cost of debt and capital structure. The cost of service and rate design would be based on the rates approved in the utility's most recent electric rate order. The utility may choose to file for a project-specific return on common equity or use the return from its most recent rate proceeding if the proceeding is less than five years old.

The Company's issuance of various securities, including short- and long-term debt, is subject to customary approval or authorization by state and federal regulatory bodies, including state public service commissions and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC).

On February 15, 2007, SCANA redeemed at maturity \$25 million of its medium-term notes which bore interest at 6.9%.

Pursuant to Section 204 of the Federal Power Act, SCE&G and GENCO must obtain FERC authority to issue short-term debt. Effective February 8, 2006 the FERC has authorized SCE&G and GENCO to issue up to \$700 million and \$100 million, respectively, of unsecured promissory notes or commercial paper with maturity dates of one year or less. This authorization expires February 7, 2008.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND REGULATORY MATTERS

The EPA has undertaken an aggressive enforcement initiative and the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) has brought suit against a number of utilities in federal court alleging violations of the Clean Air Act (CAA). At least two of these suits have either been tried or have had substantive motions decided—neither favorable to the industry. One of the decisions is not believed to be binding as precedent and the other one, described more fully below, may be.

On April 2, 2007, in a unanimous ruling, the U.S. Supreme Court vacated a decision by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit that effectively halted the EPA enforcement action against Duke Energy Corporation (Duke) for allegedly performing plant modifications without a required permit. Such modifications for life extension and modernization as performed by Duke and other utilities, including SCE&G, were common within the industry. Hence this decision may heighten the potential exposure of utilities to enforcement actions such as those already brought against Duke and others, many of which had not proceeded pending this Supreme Court decision.

Although the Supreme Court overturned the lower courts' decisions, some issues were not ruled upon and were remanded to the lower courts where they may receive further consideration. Several arguments are expected to continue during the remand proceedings. For example, Duke argued that the EPA has taken inconsistent positions in its interpretations of "routine maintenance, repair and replacement" and is now "retroactively targeting twenty years of accepted practice." The Supreme Court noted that this issue was not addressed by the lower court decisions, and, to the extent it is not procedurally foreclosed, Duke may raise it on remand.

Prior to the suits, those utilities had received requests for information under Section 114 of the CAA and were issued Notices of Violation. The basis for these suits is the assertion by the EPA, under a stringent rule known as New Source Review (NSR), that certain maintenance activities undertaken by the utilities constitute “major modifications” which would have required the installation of costly Best Available Control Technology (BACT). SCE&G and GENCO have previously received and responded to Section 114 requests for information related to Canadys, Wateree and Williams Stations. The regulations under the CAA provide certain exemptions to the definition of “major modifications,” including an exemption for routine repair, replacement or maintenance. On October 27, 2003, the EPA published a final revised NSR rule in the Federal Register with an effective date of December 26, 2003. The rule represents an industry-favorable departure from certain positions advanced by the federal government in the NSR enforcement initiative. However, on motion of several Northeastern states, the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia stayed the effect of the final rule. Even with the recent Supreme Court action described above, the ultimate application of the final rule to the Company is uncertain. The Company has analyzed each of the activities covered by the EPA’s requests and believes each of these activities is covered by the exemption for routine repair, replacement and maintenance under what it believes is a fair reading of both the prior regulation and the contested revised regulation. The regulations also provide an exemption for an increase in emissions resulting from increased hours of operation or production rate and from demand growth.

The current state of continued DOJ enforcement actions continues to be the subject of industry-wide speculation, but it is possible that the EPA will commence enforcement actions against SCE&G and GENCO, and the EPA has the authority to seek penalties at the rate of up to \$27,500 per day for each violation. The EPA also could seek installation of BACT (or equivalent) at the three plants. The Company continues to believe that any enforcement actions relative to the Company’s compliance with the CAA would be without merit. The Company has completed installation of selective catalytic reactors at Wateree and Williams for nitrogen oxides control and is proceeding with plans to install sulfur dioxide scrubbers at both of these stations to meet Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) regulations. These actions would mitigate many of the concerns with NSR. SCE&G and GENCO expect to incur capital expenditures totaling approximately \$450 million over the 2007-2010 period to install this new equipment. SCE&G and GENCO also expect to have increased operation and maintenance costs of approximately \$4 million in 2010 and \$27 million in 2011 and each subsequent year thereafter. To meet compliance requirements for the years 2012 through 2016, the Company anticipates additional capital expenditures totaling approximately \$480 million.

See notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information related to environmental matters (Note 5B) and regulatory matters (Note 2).

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

All financial instruments held by the Company described below are held for purposes other than trading.

Interest rate risk - The table below provides information about long-term debt issued by the Company and other financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in interest rates. For debt obligations, the table presents principal cash flows and related weighted average interest rates by expected maturity dates. For interest rate swaps, the figures shown reflect notional amounts and related maturities. Fair values for debt and swaps represent quoted market prices.

| As of March 31, 2007 | Expected Maturity | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------|-------|------|-------|-----------------|---------|---------------|--|
| Millions of dollars | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | There- After | Total | Fair Value | |
| Long-Term Debt Issued: | | | | | | | | | |
| Fixed Rate (\$) | 8.2 | 123.2 | 108.2 | 14.8 | 619.3 | 2,023.6 | 2,897.3 | 2,994.9 | |
| Average Fixed Interest Rate (%) | 8.00 | 5.96 | 6.27 | 6.87 | 6.78 | 5.95 | 6.15 | n/a | |
| Variable Rate (\$) | | 100.0 | | | | | 100.0 | 100.2 | |
| Average Variable Interest Rate (%) | | 5.51 | | | | | 5.51 | n/a | |
| Interest Rate Swaps: | | | | | | | | | |
| Pay Variable/Receive Fixed (\$) | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 19.2 | 0.3 | |
| Average Pay Interest Rate (%) | 8.54 | 8.54 | 8.54 | 8.54 | 8.54 | 8.54 | 8.54 | n/a | |
| Average Receive Interest Rate (%) | 8.75 | 8.75 | 8.75 | 8.75 | 8.75 | 8.75 | 8.75 | n/a | |

While a decrease in interest rates would increase the fair value of debt, it is unlikely that events which would result in a significant realized loss will occur.

Commodity price risk - The following tables provide information about the Company's financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in natural gas prices. Weighted average settlement prices are per 10,000 dekatherms. Fair value represents quoted market prices.

Expected Maturity:

| | Futures Contracts | | Options | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | Long | Short | Strike Price ^(a) | Purchased Call | Sold Call (Short) | Sold Put (Long) |
| 2007 | | | | | | |
| Settlement Price ^(a) | 8.28 | 8.29 | 8.79 | 10.57 | 5.19 | |
| Contract Amount ^(b) | 16.5 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | |
| Fair Value ^(b) | 16.8 | 2.2 | - | - | - | |
| 2008 | | | | | | |
| Settlement Price ^(a) | 8.82 | - | - | - | - | |
| Contract Amount ^(b) | 18.3 | - | - | - | - | |
| Fair Value ^(b) | 19.0 | - | - | - | - | |

^(a) Weighted average, in dollars

^(b) Millions of dollars

| Swaps | Expected Maturity | | |
|--|-------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
| Commodity Swaps: | | | |
| Pay fixed/receive variable ^(b) | 116.2 | 101.6 | 0.3 |
| Average pay rate ^(a) | 8.8269 | 9.2065 | 8.4600 |
| Average received rate ^(a) | 8.5169 | 9.2058 | 8.9975 |
| Fair value ^(b) | 112.1 | 101.6 | 0.4 |
| Pay variable/receive fixed ^(b) | 2.4 | 1.5 | - |
| Average pay rate ^(a) | 8.2752 | 8.9018 | 7.7551 |
| Average received rate ^(a) | 7.8558 | 7.9967 | 7.2500 |
| Fair value ^(b) | 2.2 | 1.3 | - |
| Basis Swaps: | | | |
| Pay variable/receive variable ^(b) | 24.5 | 7.1 | - |
| Average pay rate ^(a) | 8.3173 | 9.3016 | - |
| Average received rate ^(a) | 8.3063 | 9.2978 | - |
| Fair value ^(b) | 24.4 | 7.1 | - |

^(a) Weighted average, in dollars

^(b) Millions of dollars

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of March 31, 2007 SCANA Corporation (SCANA) conducted an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including its Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), of (a) the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures and (b) any change in its internal control over financial reporting. Based on this evaluation, the CEO and CFO concluded that as of March 31, 2007 SCANA's disclosure controls and procedures were effective. There has been no change in SCANA's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2007 that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect SCANA's internal control over financial reporting.

**SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY
FINANCIAL SECTION**

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

| Millions of dollars | March 31, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Utility Plant In Service | \$ 8,014 | \$ 7,876 |
| Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization | (2,532) | (2,483) |
| | 5,482 | 5,393 |
| Construction Work in Progress | 273 | 316 |
| Nuclear Fuel, Net of Accumulated Amortization | 70 | 39 |
| Utility Plant, Net | 5,825 | 5,748 |
| Nonutility Property and Investments: | | |
| Nonutility property, net of accumulated depreciation | 32 | 31 |
| Assets held in trust, net - nuclear decommissioning | 58 | 56 |
| Other investments | 26 | 25 |
| Nonutility Property and Investments, Net | 116 | 112 |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 17 | 24 |
| Receivables, net of allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$5 and \$5 | 300 | 311 |
| Receivables - affiliated companies | 28 | 41 |
| Inventories (at average cost): | | |
| Fuel | 151 | 147 |
| Materials and supplies | 88 | 85 |
| Emission allowances | 46 | 22 |
| Prepayments and other | 25 | 20 |
| Deferred income taxes | 19 | 19 |
| Total Current Assets | 674 | 669 |
| Deferred Debits and Other Assets: | | |
| Pension asset, net | 206 | 200 |
| Due from affiliates - pension and postretirement benefits | 43 | 41 |
| Emission allowances | - | 27 |
| Regulatory assets | 686 | 702 |
| Other | 102 | 127 |
| Total Deferred Debits and Other Assets | 1,037 | 1,097 |
| Total | \$ 7,652 | \$ 7,626 |

| Millions of dollars | March 31, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| Capitalization and Liabilities | | |
| Shareholders' Investment: | | |
| Common equity | \$ 2,525 | \$ 2,457 |
| Preferred stock (Not subject to purchase or sinking funds) | 106 | 106 |
| Total Shareholders' Investment | 2,631 | 2,563 |
| Preferred Stock, net (Subject to purchase or sinking funds) | 8 | 8 |
| Long-Term Debt, net | 2,006 | 2,008 |
| Total Capitalization | 4,645 | 4,579 |
| Minority Interest | 86 | 86 |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Short-term borrowings | 361 | 362 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 14 | 14 |
| Accounts payable | 166 | 155 |
| Accounts payable - affiliated companies | 174 | 147 |
| Customer deposits and customer prepayments | 40 | 40 |
| Taxes accrued | 30 | 112 |
| Interest accrued | 30 | 33 |
| Dividends declared | 38 | 23 |
| Other | 40 | 63 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 893 | 949 |
| Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities: | | |
| Deferred income taxes, net | 814 | 807 |
| Deferred investment tax credits | 103 | 118 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 283 | 279 |
| Postretirement benefits | 195 | 194 |
| Due to affiliates - pension and postretirement benefits | 5 | 6 |
| Regulatory liabilities | 558 | 541 |
| Other | 70 | 67 |
| Total Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities | 2,028 | 2,012 |
| Commitments and Contingencies (Note 4) | | |
| Total | \$ 7,652 | \$ 7,626 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(Unaudited)

| Millions of dollars | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Operating Revenues: | | |
| Electric | \$ 444 | \$ 399 |
| Gas | 189 | 193 |
| Total Operating Revenues | 633 | 592 |
| Operating Expenses: | | |
| Fuel used in electric generation | 156 | 117 |
| Purchased power | 11 | 4 |
| Gas purchased for resale | 139 | 153 |
| Other operation and maintenance | 129 | 115 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 79 | 65 |
| Other taxes | 38 | 35 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 552 | 489 |
| Operating Income | 81 | 103 |
| Other Income (Expense): | | |
| Other income | 7 | 35 |
| Other expenses | (4) | (31) |
| Interest charges, net of allowance for borrowed funds used during construction of \$2 and \$1 | (36) | (36) |
| Total Other Expense | (33) | (32) |
| Income Before Income Taxes, Losses from Equity Method Investments, Minority Interest, Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change and Preferred Stock Dividends | 48 | 71 |
| Income Tax Expense | 3 | 18 |
| Income Before Losses from Equity Method Investments, Minority Interest, Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change and Preferred Stock Dividends | 45 | 53 |
| Losses from Equity Method Investments | (6) | (6) |
| Minority Interest | (1) | (1) |
| Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change, net of taxes | - | 4 |
| Net Income | 38 | 50 |
| Preferred Stock Cash Dividends Declared | 2 | 2 |
| Earnings Available for Common Shareholder | \$ 36 | \$ 48 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

| Millions of dollars | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 38 | \$ 50 |
| Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net Cash Provided From Operating Activities: | | |
| Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of taxes | - | (4) |
| Losses from equity method investments | 6 | 6 |
| Minority interest | 1 | 1 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 79 | 65 |
| Amortization of nuclear fuel | 5 | 5 |
| Carrying cost recovery | (1) | (2) |
| Cash provided (used) by changes in certain assets and liabilities: | | |
| Receivables, net | 30 | 62 |
| Inventories | (18) | (22) |
| Prepayments | (5) | (10) |
| Pension asset | (6) | (3) |
| Other regulatory assets | 23 | 8 |
| Deferred income taxes, net | 7 | 11 |
| Regulatory liabilities | 15 | 12 |
| Postretirement benefits | 1 | 2 |
| Accounts payable | 1 | (43) |
| Taxes accrued | (82) | (75) |
| Interest accrued | (3) | (5) |
| Changes in fuel adjustment clauses | (18) | 22 |
| Changes in other assets | 17 | 2 |
| Changes in other liabilities | (31) | (6) |
| Net Cash Provided From Operating Activities | 59 | 76 |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities: | | |
| Utility property additions and construction expenditures | (112) | (68) |
| Investments | (7) | (3) |
| Net Cash Used For Investing Activities | (119) | (71) |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities: | | |
| Repayment of debt | (2) | (7) |
| Dividends | (23) | (39) |
| Contribution from parent | 67 | 1 |
| Short-term borrowings - affiliate, net | 12 | (3) |
| Short-term borrowings, net | (1) | 45 |
| Net Cash Provided From (Used For) Financing Activities | 53 | (3) |
| Net Increase (Decrease) In Cash and Cash Equivalents | (7) | 2 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, January 1 | 24 | 19 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, March 31 | \$ 17 | \$ 21 |
| Supplemental Cash Flow Information: | | |
| Cash paid for - Interest (net of capitalized interest of \$2 and \$1) | \$ 28 | \$ 36 |
| - Income taxes | 2 | 10 |
| Noncash Investing and Financing Activities: | | |
| Accrued construction expenditures | 27 | 21 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
March 31, 2007
(Unaudited)

The following notes should be read in conjunction with the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements appearing in South Carolina Electric & Gas Company's (SCE&G, and together with its consolidated affiliates, the Company) Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. These are interim financial statements, and due to the seasonality of the Company's business and matters that may occur during the rest of the year, the amounts reported in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income are not necessarily indicative of amounts expected for the full year. In the opinion of management, the information furnished herein reflects all adjustments, all of a normal recurring nature, which are necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods reported.

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Variable Interest Entity

Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation (FIN) 46 (Revised 2003), "*Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*," requires an enterprise's consolidated financial statements to include entities in which the enterprise has a controlling financial interest. SCE&G has determined that it has a controlling financial interest in South Carolina Generating Company, Inc. (GENCO) and South Carolina Fuel Company, Inc. (Fuel Company), and accordingly, the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of SCE&G, GENCO and Fuel Company. The equity interests in GENCO and Fuel Company are held solely by SCANA Corporation (SCANA), the Company's parent. Accordingly, GENCO's and Fuel Company's equity and results of operations are reflected as minority interest in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

GENCO owns a coal-fired electric generating station with a 615 megawatt net generating capacity (summer rating). GENCO's electricity is sold solely to SCE&G under the terms of power purchase and related operating agreements. Fuel Company acquires, owns and provides financing for SCE&G's nuclear fuel, fossil fuel and emission allowances. The effects of these transactions are eliminated in consolidation. Substantially all of GENCO's property (carrying value of \$267 million) serves as collateral for its long-term borrowings.

B. Basis of Accounting

The Company accounts for its regulated utility operations, assets and liabilities in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 71, "*Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation*." SFAS 71 requires cost-based rate-regulated utilities to recognize in their financial statements certain revenues and expenses in different time periods than do enterprises that are not rate-regulated. As a result, the Company has recorded the regulatory assets and regulatory liabilities summarized as follows.

| Millions of dollars | March 31, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| Regulatory Assets: | | |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes | \$ 169 | \$ 169 |
| Under-collections-electric fuel and gas cost adjustment clauses | 37 | 49 |
| Deferred purchased power costs | 6 | 9 |
| Environmental remediation costs | 18 | 18 |
| Asset retirement obligations and related funding | 256 | 254 |
| Franchise agreements | 54 | 55 |
| Deferred regional transmission organization costs | 7 | 8 |
| Deferred employee benefit plan costs | 126 | 128 |
| Other | 13 | 12 |
| Total Regulatory Assets | \$ 686 | \$ 702 |
| Regulatory Liabilities: | | |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes | \$ 33 | \$ 34 |
| Over-collection - gas cost adjustment clause | 10 | - |
| Other asset removal costs | 445 | 438 |
| Storm damage reserve | 46 | 44 |
| Planned major maintenance | 4 | 6 |
| Other | 20 | 19 |
| Total Regulatory Liabilities | \$ 558 | \$ 541 |

Accumulated deferred income tax liabilities arising from utility operations that have not been included in customer rates are recorded as a regulatory asset. Accumulated deferred income tax assets arising from deferred investment tax credits are recorded as a regulatory liability.

Under- and over-collections-electric fuel and gas cost adjustment clauses, net, represent amounts under- or over-collected from customers pursuant to the fuel adjustment clause (electric customers) or gas cost adjustment clause (gas customers) as approved by the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (SCPSC) during annual hearings. Included in these amounts are regulatory assets or liabilities arising from realized and unrealized gains and losses incurred in the natural gas hedging program of the Company's regulated operations.

Deferred purchased power costs represent costs that were necessitated by outages at two of SCE&G's base load generating plants in winter 2000-2001. The SCPSC approved recovery of these costs in base rates over a three-year period beginning January 2005.

Environmental remediation costs represent costs associated with the assessment and clean-up of manufactured gas plant (MGP) sites currently or formerly owned by SCE&G. Costs incurred by SCE&G at such sites are being recovered through rates.

Asset retirement obligations (ARO) and related funding represents the regulatory asset associated with the legal obligation to decommission and dismantle V. C. Summer Nuclear Station (Summer Station) and conditional AROs recorded as required by SFAS 143, "*Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations*," and FIN 47, "*Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations*."

Franchise agreements represent costs associated with electric and gas franchise agreements with the cities of Charleston and Columbia, South Carolina. Based on an SCPSC order, SCE&G began amortizing these amounts through cost of service rates in February 2003 over approximately 20 years.

Deferred regional transmission organization costs represent costs incurred by SCE&G in the United States Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC)-mandated formation of GridSouth. The project was suspended in 2002. Effective January 2005, the SCPSC approved the amortization of these amounts through cost of service rates over approximately five years.

Deferred employee benefit plan costs represent pension and other postretirement benefit costs which were accrued as liabilities under provision of SFAS 158, "*Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans*," but which are expected to be recovered through utility rates.

Other asset removal costs represent net collections through depreciation rates of estimated costs to be incurred for the future removal of assets.

The storm damage reserve represents an SCPSC-approved collection through electric rates capped at \$50 million, which can be applied to offset incremental storm damage costs in excess of \$2.5 million in a calendar year. For the three months ended March 31, 2007, no amounts were drawn from this reserve.

Planned major maintenance related to certain fossil and hydro-turbine equipment and nuclear refueling outages is accrued in advance of the time the costs are incurred, as approved through specific SCPSC orders. SCE&G is allowed to collect \$8.5 million annually over an eight-year period, beginning in January 2005, through electric rates to offset turbine maintenance expenditures. Nuclear refueling charges are accrued during each 18-month refueling outage cycle as a component of cost of service and do not receive special rate consideration.

The SCPSC has reviewed and approved through specific orders most of the items shown as regulatory assets. Other regulatory assets represent costs which have not been approved for recovery by the SCPSC. In recording these costs as regulatory assets, management believes the costs will be allowable under existing rate-making concepts that are embodied in rate orders received by SCE&G. However, ultimate recovery is subject to SCPSC approval. In the future, as a result of deregulation or other changes in the regulatory environment, the Company may no longer meet the criteria for continued application of SFAS 71 and could be required to write off its regulatory assets and liabilities. Such an event could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations, liquidity or financial position in the period the write-off would be recorded.

C. Affiliated Transactions

Prior to November 1, 2006 SCE&G purchased natural gas for resale and for electric generation from South Carolina Pipeline Corporation (SCPC). Effective November 1, 2006, SCG Pipeline, Inc. (SCG Pipeline) merged into SCPC and the merged company changed its name to Carolina Gas Transmission Corporation (CGTC). Subsequent to the merger, CGTC provides only transportation services to SCE&G. SCE&G had approximately \$2.5 million payable to CGTC for transportation services at March 31, 2007 and \$1.9 million payable at December 31, 2006.

SCE&G purchases natural gas and related pipeline capacity from SCANA Energy Marketing, Inc. (SEMI) to supply its Jasper County Electric Generating Station and to serve its retail gas customers. Such purchases totaled \$64.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and \$7.0 million for the corresponding period in 2006. SCE&G's payable to SEMI for such purposes was \$9.8 million at March 31, 2007 and \$14.0 million at December 31, 2006.

Total interest expense, based on market interest rates, associated with the Company's borrowings from affiliated companies was \$0.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007. Total interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2006 was not significant. Total interest income from investments with affiliated companies for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 also was not significant. At March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, SCE&G owed an affiliate \$75 million arising from advances from a consolidated cash management utility money pool.

SCE&G holds equity-method investments in two partnerships involved in converting coal to synthetic fuel. SCE&G's receivables from these affiliated companies were \$28.1 million at March 31, 2007 and \$31.8 million at December 31, 2006. SCE&G's payables to these affiliated companies were \$25.8 million at March 31, 2007 and \$26.6 million at December 31, 2006. SCE&G purchased \$70.6 million and \$64.8 million of synthetic fuel from these affiliated companies for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

SCE&G purchases shaft horsepower from a cogeneration facility. The facility is a limited liability company (LLC) held by SCANA as an equity-method investment. SCE&G's payables to the LLC were \$2.5 million at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006. SCE&G purchased \$7.3 million and \$6.3 million of shaft horsepower from the LLC for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

D. Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

Components of net periodic benefit income or cost recorded by the Company were as follows:

| Millions of dollars | Pension Benefits | | Other Postretirement Benefits | |
|---|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 | 2006 |
| <i>Three months ended March 31,</i> | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 3.5 | \$ 3.5 | \$ 1.2 | \$ 1.2 |
| Interest cost | 10.3 | 9.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| Expected return on assets | (20.1) | (18.8) | - | - |
| Prior service cost amortization | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Transition obligation amortization | - | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | - | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Amount attributable to Company affiliates | (0.6) | (0.6) | (1.4) | (1.3) |
| Net periodic benefit (income) cost | \$ (5.2) | \$ (4.0) | \$ 3.6 | \$ 3.4 |

E. New Accounting Matters

SFAS 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities," was issued in February 2007. SFAS 159 allows entities to measure at fair value many financial instruments and certain other assets and liabilities that are not otherwise required to be measured at fair value. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company has not determined what impact, if any, that adoption will have on the Company's results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

SFAS 157, "Fair Value Measurements," was issued in September 2006. SFAS 157 establishes a framework for measuring fair value to increase the consistency and comparability in fair value measurements. The Company will adopt SFAS 157 in the first quarter of 2008, and does not expect that the initial adoption will have a material impact on the Company's results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

FIN 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes," clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." FIN 48 prescribes financial statement recognition threshold and measurement attributes for tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. The Company adopted FIN 48 in the first quarter of 2007. The impact on the Company's results of operations, cash flows or financial position is discussed at Note 1F.

FASB Staff Position (FSP) AUG AIR-1 "Accounting for Planned Major Maintenance Activities," amended APB 28, "Interim Financial Reporting," to prohibit the use of the accrue-in-advance method of accounting for planned major maintenance. As disclosed in Note 1A, the Company has received specific SCPSC orders providing for use of accrue-in-advance accounting for certain planned major maintenance activities. Accordingly, the Company will continue to follow accrue-in-advance accounting as allowed under SFAS 71 for these activities. The Company's adoption of FSP AUG AIR-1 in the first quarter of 2007 did not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

F. Income Taxes

The Company is included in the consolidated federal income tax return of SCANA Corporation (SCANA) and files in various state and local jurisdictions. The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) has completed examinations of SCANA's federal income tax returns through 2004, and SCANA's federal tax returns through 2001 are closed for additional assessment. With a few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to state and local income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2003. The IRS is currently examining S. C. Coaltech No. 1 LP., a synthetic fuel partnership in which SCE&G has an interest, for the 2004 tax year. SCE&G does not anticipate that any adjustments which might result from the examination will have a material impact on the earnings, cash flows or the financial position of SCE&G. SCE&G continues to believe that all of its synthetic fuel tax credits have been properly claimed.

In connection with the initial adoption of FIN 48, SCE&G removed \$15 million of previously recognized tax benefits from its balance sheet. Because regulatory liabilities had been recorded for these previously recognized tax benefits under the provisions of SFAS 71, these benefits had never been recognized in SCE&G's earnings or retained earnings. As a result, the initial adoption of FIN 48 had no effect on SCE&G's equity. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate is \$15 million. Because the benefits would be amortized into earnings over a number of years under SFAS 71, the impact on any individual year's effective tax rate would be immaterial.

The Company recognizes interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits within interest expense and recognizes tax penalties within other expenses. As of March 31, 2007, the Company has not accrued any interest or tax penalties.

G. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Accumulated other comprehensive loss, comprised of the deferred cost of employee benefit plans, totaled \$6.9 million as of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

2. RATE AND OTHER REGULATORY MATTERS

Electric

SCE&G's rates are established using a cost of fuel component approved by the SCPSC which may be modified periodically to reflect changes in the price of fuel purchased by SCE&G. SCE&G's cost of fuel component was as follows:

| Rate Per KWh | Effective Date |
|--------------|---------------------|
| \$.02256 | January-April 2006 |
| .02516 | May 2006-March 2007 |

In connection with the May 2006 fuel component increase, SCE&G agreed to spread the recovery of previously under-collected fuel costs of \$38.5 million over a two-year period.

Gas

In June 2006, SCE&G reported to the SCPSC that its return on common equity for the 12 months ended March 31, 2006 was more than 0.5 percent below the allowed return, and as provided under South Carolina's Natural Gas Rate Stabilization Act, SCE&G requested an increase in certain natural gas base rates. In September 2006, the SCPSC approved an annual increase of \$17.4 million. The rate adjustment was effective with the first billing cycle in November 2006.

SCE&G's rates are established using a cost of gas component approved by the SCPSC in October 2006 which authorized SCE&G to adjust its cost of gas on a monthly, rather than an annual, basis beginning in December 2006. SCE&G's cost of gas components by class were as follows (rate per therm):

| Effective Date | Residential | Small/Medium | Large |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| January 2006 | \$1.297 | \$1.222 | \$1.198 |
| February-October 2006 | 1.227 | 1.152 | 1.128 |
| November 2006 | 1.115 | 1.004 | .963 |
| December 2006 | 1.240 | 1.130 | 1.090 |
| January 2007 | 1.238 | 1.162 | 1.130 |
| February 2007 | 1.137 | 1.069 | 1.039 |
| March 2007 | 1.128 | 1.078 | 1.060 |

3. LONG-TERM DEBT

Substantially all of the Company's electric utility plant is pledged as collateral in connection with long-term debt.

4. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company utilizes various financial derivatives, including those designated as cash flow hedges related to natural gas. The Company also utilizes swap agreements to manage interest rate risk. These transactions are more fully described in Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements in SCE&G's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The Company's regulated gas operations hedge gas purchasing activities using over-the-counter options and swaps and NYMEX futures and options. The Company's tariffs include a purchased gas adjustment (PGA) clause that provides for the recovery of actual gas costs incurred. The SCPSC has ruled that the results of these hedging activities are to be included in the PGA. As such, the cost of related derivatives utilized to hedge gas purchasing activities are recoverable through the weighted average cost of gas calculation. The offset to the change in fair value of these derivatives is recorded as a regulatory asset or liability.

5. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Reference is made to Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements appearing in SCE&G's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. Commitments and contingencies at March 31, 2007 include the following:

A. Nuclear Insurance

The Price-Anderson Indemnification Act deals with public liability for a nuclear incident and establishes the liability limit for third-party claims associated with any nuclear incident at \$10.5 billion. Each reactor licensee is currently liable for up to \$100.6 million per reactor owned for each nuclear incident occurring at any reactor in the United States, provided that not more than \$15 million of the liability per reactor would be assessed per year. SCE&G's maximum assessment, based on its two-thirds ownership of Summer Station, would be \$67.1 million per incident, but not more than \$10 million per year.

SCE&G currently maintains policies (for itself and on behalf of Santee Cooper, the one-third owner of Summer Station) with Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited. The policies, covering the nuclear facility for property damage, excess property damage and outage costs, permit retrospective assessments under certain conditions to cover insurer's losses. Based on the current annual premium, SCE&G's portion of the retrospective premium assessment would not exceed \$14.1 million.

To the extent that insurable claims for property damage, decontamination, repair and replacement and other costs and expenses arising from a nuclear incident at Summer Station exceed the policy limits of insurance, or to the extent such insurance becomes unavailable in the future, and to the extent that SCE&G's rates would not recover the cost of any purchased replacement power, SCE&G will retain the risk of loss as a self-insurer. SCE&G has no reason to anticipate a serious nuclear incident at Summer Station. However, if such an incident were to occur, it would have a material adverse impact on SCE&G's results of operations, cash flows and financial position.

B. Environmental

In March 2005, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issued a final rule known as the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR). CAIR requires the District of Columbia and 28 states, including South Carolina, to reduce nitrogen oxide and sulfur dioxide emissions in order to attain mandated state levels. SCE&G has petitioned the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit to review CAIR. Several other electric utilities have filed separate petitions. The petitioners seek a change in the method CAIR uses to allocate sulfur dioxide emission allowances to a method the petitioners believe is more equitable. The Company believes that installation of additional air quality controls will be needed to meet the CAIR requirements, although compliance plans and cost to comply with the rule have not been determined. Such costs will be material and are expected to be recoverable through rates.

In March 2005, the EPA issued a final rule establishing a mercury emissions cap and trade program for coal-fired power plants that requires limits to be met in two phases, in 2010 and 2018. Although the Company expects to be able to meet the Phase I limits through those measures it already will be taking to meet its CAIR obligations, it is uncertain as to how the Phase II limits will be met. Assuming Phase II limits remain unchanged, installation of additional air quality controls likely will be required to comply with the rule's Phase II mercury emission caps. Final compliance plans and costs to comply with the rule are still under review. Such costs will be material and are expected to be recoverable through rates.

SCE&G has been named, along with 53 others, by the EPA as a potentially responsible party (PRP) at the Alternate Energy Resources, Inc. (AER) Superfund site located in Augusta, Georgia. The EPA placed the site on the National Priorities List on April 19, 2006. AER conducted hazardous waste storage and treatment operations from 1975 to 2000, when the site was abandoned. While operational, AER processed fuels from waste oils, treated industrial coolants and oil/water emulsions, recycled solvents and blended hazardous waste fuels. During that time, SCE&G occasionally used AER for the processing of waste solvents, oily rags and oily wastewater. The EPA and the State of Georgia have documented that a release or releases have occurred at the site leading to contamination of groundwater, surface water and soils. The EPA and the State of Georgia have conducted a preliminary assessment and site inspection. The site has not been remediated nor has a clean-up cost been estimated. Although a basis for the allocation of clean-up costs among the PRPs is unclear, SCE&G does not believe that its involvement at this site would result in an allocation of costs that would have a material adverse impact on its results of operations, cash flows or financial condition. Any cost allocated to SCE&G arising from the remediation of this site, net of insurance recoveries, if any, is expected to be recoverable through rates.

SCE&G has been named, along with 29 others, by the EPA as a PRP at the Carolina Transformer Superfund site located in Fayetteville, North Carolina. The Carolina Transformer Company (CTC) conducted an electrical transformer rebuilding and repair operation at the site from approximately 1959 to 1986. During that time, SCE&G occasionally used CTC for the repair of existing transformers, purchase of new transformers and sale of used transformers. In 1984, the EPA initiated a remediation of PCB-contaminated soil and groundwater at the site. The EPA reports that it has spent \$36 million to date. SCE&G does not believe that its involvement at this site would result in an allocation of costs that would have a material adverse impact on its results of operations, cash flows or financial condition. Any cost allocated to SCE&G arising from the remediation of this site, net of insurance recoveries, if any, is expected to be recoverable through rates.

SCE&G maintains an environmental assessment program to identify and evaluate current and former sites that could require environmental clean-up. As site assessments are initiated, estimates are made of the amount of expenditures, if any, deemed necessary to investigate and remediate each site. These estimates are refined as additional information becomes available; therefore, actual expenditures could differ significantly from the original estimates. Amounts estimated and accrued to date for site assessments and clean-up relate solely to regulated operations. SCE&G defers site assessment and clean-up costs and recovers them through rates (see Note 1). Deferred amounts, net of amounts previously recovered through rates and insurance settlements, totaled \$17.5 million at March 31, 2007. The deferral includes the estimated costs associated with the following matters.

SCE&G owns a decommissioned MGP site in the Calhoun Park area of Charleston, South Carolina. SCE&G anticipates that remediation for contamination at the site will be completed in late 2007, with certain monitoring and retreatment activities continuing through 2012. As of March 31, 2007, SCE&G had spent \$22.3 million to remediate the site and expects to spend an additional \$1.1 million prior to entering a monitoring and reporting stage. In addition, the National Park Service of the Department of the Interior made an initial demand to SCE&G for payment of \$9.1 million for certain costs and damages relating to this site. SCE&G expects to recover any cost arising from the remediation of this site through rates.

SCE&G owns three other decommissioned MGP sites in South Carolina which contain residues of by-product chemicals. One of the sites has been remediated and will undergo routine monitoring until released by the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC). The other sites are currently being investigated under work plans approved by DHEC. SCE&G anticipates that major remediation activities for the three sites will be completed by 2011. As of March 31, 2007, SCE&G has spent \$4.8 million related to these three sites, and expects to spend an additional \$11.2 million. SCE&G expects to recover any cost arising from the remediation of these sites through rates.

C. Claims and Litigation

In August 2003, SCE&G was served as a co-defendant in a purported class action lawsuit styled as *Collins v. Duke Energy Corporation, Progress Energy Services Company, and South Carolina Electric & Gas Company* in South Carolina's Circuit Court of Common Pleas for the Fifth Judicial Circuit. Since that time, the plaintiffs have dismissed defendants Duke Energy and Progress Energy and are proceeding against SCE&G only. The plaintiffs are seeking damages for the alleged improper use of electric transmission and distribution easements but have not asserted a dollar amount for their claims. Specifically, the plaintiffs contend that the licensing of attachments on electric utility poles, towers and other facilities to nonutility third parties or telecommunication companies for other than the electric utility's internal use along the electric transmission and distribution line rights-of-way constitutes a trespass. It is anticipated that this case may not go to trial before 2008. SCE&G intends to mount a vigorous defense. SCE&G further believes that the resolution of these claims will not have a material adverse impact on its results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

In May 2004, the Company was served with a purported class action lawsuit styled as Douglas E. Gressette, individually and on behalf of other persons similarly situated v. South Carolina Electric & Gas Company and SCANA Corporation. The case was filed in South Carolina's Circuit Court of Common Pleas for the Ninth Judicial Circuit. The plaintiff alleges the Company made improper use of certain easements and rights-of-way by allowing fiber optic communication lines and/or wireless communication equipment to transmit communications other than the Company's electricity-related internal communications. The plaintiff asserted causes of action for unjust enrichment, trespass, injunction and declaratory judgment. The plaintiff did not assert a specific dollar amount for the claims. The Company believes its actions are consistent with governing law and the applicable documents granting easements and rights-of-way. The Circuit Court granted the Company's motion to dismiss and issued an order dismissing the case in June 2005. The plaintiff appealed to the South Carolina Supreme Court. The Supreme Court overruled the Circuit Court in October 2006 and returned the case to the Circuit Court for further consideration. It is anticipated that this case may not go to trial before 2008. The Company will continue to mount a vigorous defense and believes that the resolution of these claims will not have a material adverse impact on its results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

A complaint was filed in October 2003 against SCE&G by the State of South Carolina alleging that SCE&G violated the Unfair Trade Practices Act by charging municipal franchise fees to some customers residing outside a municipality's limits. The complaint sought restitution to all affected customers and penalties of up to \$5,000 for each separate violation. The claim against SCE&G has been settled by an agreement between the parties, and the settlement has been approved by South Carolina's Circuit Court of Common Pleas for the Fifth Judicial Circuit. In addition, SCE&G filed a petition with the SCPSC in October 2003 pursuant to S. C. Code Ann. R.103-836. The petition requests that the SCPSC exercise its jurisdiction to investigate the operation of the municipal franchise fee collection requirements applicable to SCE&G's electric and gas service, to approve SCE&G's efforts to correct any past franchise fee billing errors, to adopt improvements in the system which will reduce such errors in the future, and to adopt any regulation that the SCPSC deems just and proper to regulate the franchise fee collection process. A hearing on this petition has not been scheduled. The Company believes that the resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse impact on its results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

The Company is also engaged in various other claims and litigation incidental to its business operations which management anticipates will be resolved without a material adverse impact on the Company's results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

6. SEGMENT OF BUSINESS INFORMATION

The Company's reportable segments are listed in the following table. The Company uses operating income to measure profitability for its regulated operations. Therefore, earnings available to the common shareholder are not allocated to the Electric Operations and Gas Distribution segments. Intersegment revenues were not significant. All Other includes equity method investments.

| Millions of Dollars | External Revenue | Operating Income (Loss) | Earnings (Loss) Available to Common Shareholder | Segment Assets |
|--|------------------|-------------------------|---|-----------------|
| <i>Three Months Ended March 31, 2007</i> | | | | |
| Electric Operations | \$ 444 | \$ 56 | n/a | \$ 5,617 |
| Gas Distribution | 189 | 27 | n/a | 453 |
| All Other | - | - | (6) | - |
| Adjustments/Eliminations | - | (2) | 42 | 1,582 |
| Consolidated Total | \$ 633 | \$ 81 | \$ 36 | \$ 7,652 |
| <i>Three Months Ended March 31, 2006</i> | | | | |
| Electric Operations | \$ 399 | \$ 91 | n/a | \$ 5,408 |
| Gas Distribution | 193 | 19 | n/a | 406 |
| All Other | - | - | (6) | 3 |
| Adjustments/Eliminations | - | (7) | 54 | 1,504 |
| Consolidated Total | \$ 592 | \$ 103 | \$ 48 | \$ 7,321 |

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations appearing in South Carolina Electric & Gas Company's (SCE&G, and together with its consolidated affiliates, the Company) Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2007
AS COMPARED TO THE CORRESPONDING PERIOD IN 2006**

Net Income

Net income was as follows:

| Millions of dollars | First Quarter | |
|---------------------|---------------|---------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Net income | \$ 37.4 | \$ 49.5 |

Net income decreased due to lower electric margin of \$0.6 million, increased electric generation, transmission and distribution expenses of \$5.7 million, increased incentive compensation and other benefit costs of \$2.1 million, increased depreciation expense of \$1.8 million, increased property and other taxes of \$1.8 million and the recognition in 2006 of the cumulative effect of an accounting change resulting from SCANA's adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 123(R), "Share-Base Payment," of \$3.8 million, partially offset by an increase in gas margin of \$6.4 million. Accelerated depreciation on the Lake Murray back-up dam and recognition of synthetic fuel tax credits and related items had no effect on net income, as discussed at Income Taxes - *Recognition of Synthetic Fuel Tax Credits*.

Dividends Declared

SCE&G's Board of Directors has declared the following dividends on common stock held by SCANA Corporation (SCANA) during 2007:

| Declaration Date | Amount | Quarter Ended | Payment Date |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| February 15, 2007 | \$36.0 million | March 31, 2007 | April 1, 2007 |
| April 26, 2007 | \$39.7 million | June 30, 2007 | July 1, 2007 |

Electric Operations

Electric Operations is comprised of the electric operations of SCE&G, South Carolina Generating Company, Inc. (GENCO) and South Carolina Fuel Company, Inc. (SCFC). Electric operations sales margin (including transactions with affiliates) was as follows:

| Millions of dollars | First Quarter | | |
|--|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | 2007 | % Change | 2006 |
| Operating revenues | \$ 444.6 | 11.3% | \$ 399.6 |
| Less: Fuel used in electric generation | 155.9 | 32.7% | 117.5 |
| Purchased power | 11.3 | * | 3.7 |
| Margin | \$ 277.4 | (0.4)% | \$ 278.4 |

*Greater than 100%

Margin decreased by \$10.7 million due to decreased off-system sales, partially offset by increased residential and commercial customer growth of \$7.9 million.

Gas Distribution

Gas Distribution is comprised of the local distribution operations of SCE&G. Gas distribution sales margin (including transactions with affiliates) was as follows:

| Millions of dollars | 2007 | First Quarter % Change | 2006 |
|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| Operating revenues | \$ 189.1 | (1.9)% | \$ 192.8 |
| Less: Gas purchased for resale | 139.4 | (9.2)% | 153.5 |
| <u>Margin</u> | <u>\$ 49.7</u> | <u>26.5%</u> | <u>\$ 39.3</u> |

Margin increased by \$7.5 million primarily due to an SCPSC-approved increase in retail gas base rates effective with the first billing cycle in November 2006.

Other Operating Expenses

Other operating expenses were as follows:

| Millions of dollars | 2007 | First Quarter % Change | 2006 |
|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|
| Other operation and maintenance | \$ 129.1 | 12.4% | \$ 114.9 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 79.2 | 22.8% | 64.5 |
| Other taxes | 37.4 | 6.9% | 35.0 |

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased by \$9.3 million due to higher electric generation, transmission and distribution expenses and by \$3.4 million due to higher incentive compensation and other benefit costs. Depreciation and amortization expense increased by \$11.8 million due to lower estimated phase-out of synthetic fuel tax credits related to the back-up dam at Lake Murray (see *Income Taxes-Recognition of Synthetic Fuel Tax Credits*) and by \$2.9 million due to net property additions. Other taxes increased due to higher property taxes.

Other Income (Expense)

Other income (expense) includes the results of certain incidental (non-utility) activities and the activities of certain non-regulated subsidiaries. Other income (expense) declined in 2007 compared to 2006 primarily due to lower carrying cost recognition on the unrecovered balance of the Lake Murray back-up dam project and the termination of a contract at the U. S. Department of Energy's Savannah River Site.

Income Taxes

Income tax expense decreased primarily due to changes in operating income and due to the recognition of \$11.4 million in synthetic fuel tax credits during the first quarter of 2007 compared to \$5.0 million during the same period in 2006.

Recognition of Synthetic Fuel Tax Credits

SCE&G holds equity-method investments in two partnerships involved in converting coal to synthetic fuel, the use of which fuel qualifies for federal income tax credits. Under an accounting methodology approved by the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (SCPSC) in a January 2005 order, construction costs related to the Lake Murray back-up dam project are recorded in utility plant in service in a special dam remediation account outside of rate base, and depreciation is being recognized against the balance in this account on an accelerated basis, subject to the availability of the synthetic fuel tax credits.

The level of depreciation expense and related tax benefit recognized in the income statement is equal to the available synthetic fuel tax credits, less partnership losses and other expenses, net of taxes. As a result, the balance of unrecovered costs in the dam remediation account declines as accelerated depreciation is recorded. Although these entries collectively have no impact on consolidated net income, they can have a significant impact on individual line items within the income statement. The accelerated depreciation, synthetic fuel tax credits, partnership losses and the income tax benefit arising from such losses recognized by SCE&G during the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 are as follows:

| Millions of dollars | First Quarter | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | \$ (12.0) | \$ (0.2) |
| Income tax benefits: | | |
| From synthetic fuel tax credits | 10.9 | 3.3 |
| From accelerated depreciation | 4.6 | 0.1 |
| From partnership losses | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| Total income tax benefits | 17.6 | 5.4 |
| Losses from Equity Method Investments | (5.6) | (5.2) |
| Impact on Net Income | \$ - | \$ - |

Depreciation on the Lake Murray back-up dam remediation account will be matched to available synthetic fuel tax credits on a quarterly basis until the balance in the dam remediation account is zero or until all of the available synthetic fuel tax credits have been utilized. The synthetic fuel tax credit program expires at the end of 2007.

The availability of the synthetic fuel tax credits is dependent on several factors, one of which is the average annual domestic wellhead price per barrel of crude oil as published by the U.S. Government. Under a phase-out provision included in the program, if the domestic wellhead reference price of oil per barrel for a given year is below an inflation-adjusted benchmark range for that year, all of the synthetic fuel tax credits that have been generated in that year would be available for use. If that price is above the benchmark range, none of the tax credits would be available. If that price falls within the benchmark range, a calculated portion of the credits would be available.

The benchmark price range for 2006, published in April 2007, was \$55 to \$69 per barrel, resulting in a phase-out of 33 percent. SCE&G's analysis indicates that the available synthetic fuel tax credits for 2007 also are likely to be impacted by the phase-out calculation. As such, through March 2007 the Company recorded synthetic fuel tax credits and applied those credits to allow the recording of accelerated depreciation related to the balance in the dam remediation project account based on an estimate that only 94 percent of credits generated in 2007 will be available (phase-out of 6 percent). The Company cannot predict what impact, if any, the price of oil may have on the Company's ability to earn and utilize synthetic fuel tax credits in the future. However, there is significant uncertainty as to the continued availability of the credits in 2007. The availability of these synthetic fuel tax credits is also subject to coal availability and other operational risks related to the generating plants.

The Company does not expect available credits to be sufficient to fully recover the construction costs of dam remediation, and the total unrecovered costs at the end of 2007 may be significant. To the extent that available credits are not sufficient to fully recover the construction costs of the dam remediation, regulatory action to allow recovery of those remaining costs will likely be sought. As of March 31, 2007, remaining unrecovered costs, based on management's recording of accelerated depreciation and related tax benefits, were \$60.0 million.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company anticipates that its contractual cash obligations will be met through internally generated funds, the incurrence of additional short- and long-term indebtedness and sales of equity securities. The Company expects that it has or can obtain adequate sources of financing to meet its projected cash requirements for the foreseeable future. The Company's ratio of earnings to fixed charges for the 12 months ended March 31, 2007 was 2.89.

The Company's cash requirements arise primarily from its operational needs, funding its construction programs and payment of dividends to SCANA. The ability of the Company to replace existing plant investment, to expand to meet future demand for electricity and gas and to install equipment necessary to comply with environmental regulations will depend upon its ability to attract the necessary financial capital on reasonable terms. SCE&G recovers the costs of providing services through rates charged to customers. Rates for regulated services are generally based on historical costs. As customer growth and inflation occur and SCE&G continues its ongoing construction program, SCE&G expects to seek increases in rates. The Company's future financial position and results of operations will be affected by SCE&G's ability to obtain adequate and timely rate and other regulatory relief, if requested.

SCE&G expects to add additional base load electric generation in the 2015 to 2016 timeframe. Based on an evaluation of alternatives, SCE&G and Santee Cooper, a state-owned utility in South Carolina (joint owners of Summer Station) have selected the Summer Station site as the preferred site for new nuclear generation. Due to the significant lead time required for construction of nuclear generation, the joint owners are preparing an application to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for a combined construction and operating license (COL) that would cover two new nuclear units. The COL application, which is expected to be completed and filed in 2007, would be reviewed by the NRC for an estimated three years. While SCE&G's current plans are to pursue the development of one or both of these nuclear units, these plans will continue to be influenced by many factors, including NRC licensing attainment, ongoing evaluation of relative construction and operating costs, the cost of competing fuels, regulatory and environmental requirements and financial market conditions.

In May 2007, the Base Load Review Act (the Act) became law in South Carolina. This law is intended to allow a utility to recover prudently incurred capital and operating costs associated with new nuclear or coal-fired base load electric generating facilities larger than 350 megawatts. Based on an application filed by the utility under the Act, the SCPSC would review and rule on the prudence of the decision to build the plant. If the decision was found to be prudent, that finding would be binding on all future proceedings so long as the plant is constructed in accordance with the schedules, estimates and projections set forth in the approved application. In addition, beginning with the initial proceeding, the utility would be allowed to file revised rates with the SCPSC each year to incorporate any nuclear construction work in progress incurred. Requested rate adjustments would be based on the utility's updated cost of debt and capital structure. The cost of service and rate design would be based on the rates approved in the utility's most recent electric rate order. The utility may choose to file for a project-specific return on common equity or use the return from its most recent rate proceeding if the proceeding is less than five years old.

The Company's issuance of various securities, including short- and long-term debt, is subject to customary approval or authorization by state and federal regulatory bodies including the SCPSC and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC).

Pursuant to Section 204 of the Federal Power Act, SCE&G and GENCO must obtain FERC authority to issue short-term debt. Effective February 8, 2006, the FERC has authorized SCE&G and GENCO to issue up to \$700 million and \$100 million, respectively, of unsecured promissory notes or commercial paper with maturity dates of one year or less. This authorization expires February 7, 2008.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND REGULATORY MATTERS

The EPA has undertaken an aggressive enforcement initiative and the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) has brought suit against a number of utilities in federal court alleging violations of the Clean Air Act (CAA). At least two of these suits have either been tried or have had substantive motions decided—neither favorable to the industry. One of the decisions is not believed to be binding as precedent and the other one, described more fully below, may be.

On April 2, 2007, in a unanimous ruling, the U.S. Supreme Court vacated a decision by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit that effectively halted the EPA enforcement action against Duke Energy Corporation (Duke) for allegedly performing plant modifications without a required permit. Such modifications for life extension and modernization as performed by Duke and other utilities, including SCE&G, were common within the industry. Hence this decision may heighten the potential exposure of utilities to enforcement actions such as those already brought against Duke and others, many of which had not proceeded pending this Supreme Court decision.

Although the Supreme Court overturned the lower courts' decisions, some issues were not ruled upon and were remanded to the lower courts where they may receive further consideration. Several arguments are expected to continue during the remand proceedings. For example, Duke argued that the EPA has taken inconsistent positions in its interpretations of "routine maintenance, repair and replacement" and is now "retroactively targeting twenty years of accepted practice." The Supreme Court noted that this issue was not addressed by the lower court decisions, and, to the extent it is not procedurally foreclosed, Duke may raise it on remand.

Prior to the suits, those utilities had received requests for information under Section 114 of the CAA and were issued Notices of Violation. The basis for these suits is the assertion by the EPA, under a stringent rule known as New Source Review (NSR), that certain maintenance activities undertaken by the utilities constitute "major modifications" which would have required the installation of costly Best Available Control Technology (BACT). SCE&G and GENCO have previously received and responded to Section 114 requests for information related to Canadys, Wateree and Williams Stations. The regulations under the CAA provide certain exemptions to the definition of "major modifications," including an exemption for routine repair, replacement or maintenance. On October 27, 2003, the EPA published a final revised NSR rule in the Federal Register with an effective date of December 26, 2003. The rule represents an industry-favorable departure from certain positions advanced by the federal government in the NSR enforcement initiative. However, on motion of several Northeastern states, the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia stayed the effect of the final rule. Even with the recent Supreme Court action described above, the ultimate application of the final rule to the Company is uncertain. The Company has analyzed each of the activities covered by the EPA's requests and believes each of these activities is covered by the exemption for routine repair, replacement and maintenance under what it believes is a fair reading of both the prior regulation and the contested revised regulation. The regulations also provide an exemption for an increase in emissions resulting from increased hours of operation or

production rate and from demand growth.

The current state of continued DOJ enforcement actions continues to be the subject of industry-wide speculation, but it is possible that the EPA will commence enforcement actions against SCE&G and GENCO, and the EPA has the authority to seek penalties at the rate of up to \$27,500 per day for each violation. The EPA also could seek installation of BACT (or equivalent) at the three plants. The Company continues to believe that any enforcement actions relative to the Company's compliance with the CAA would be without merit. The Company has completed installation of selective catalytic reactors at Wateree and Williams for nitrogen oxides control and is proceeding with plans to install sulfur dioxide scrubbers at both of these stations to meet Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) regulations. These actions would mitigate many of the concerns with NSR. SCE&G and GENCO expect to incur capital expenditures totaling approximately \$450 million over the 2007-2010 period to install this new equipment. SCE&G and GENCO also expect to have increased operation and maintenance costs of approximately \$4 million in 2010 and \$27 million in 2011 and each subsequent year thereafter. To meet compliance requirements for the years 2012 through 2016, the Company anticipates additional capital expenditures totaling approximately \$480 million.

See notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information related to environmental matters (Note 5B) and regulatory matters (Note 2).

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

All financial instruments held by the Company described below are held for purposes other than trading.

Interest rate risk - The table below provides information about long-term debt issued by the Company which is sensitive to changes in interest rates. The table presents principal cash flows and related weighted average interest rates by expected maturity dates. Fair value represents quoted market prices.

| As of March 31, 2007 | Expected Maturity | | | | | | There- after | Total | Fair Value |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------|-------|------|-------|---------|-----------------|---------|---------------|
| Millions of dollars | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | | | | |
| Long-Term Debt Issued: | | | | | | | | | |
| Fixed Rate (\$) | 3.7 | 3.7 | 103.7 | 10.4 | 164.9 | 1,667.9 | 1,954.3 | 2,001.2 | |
| Average Interest Rate (%) | 7.78 | 7.78 | 6.18 | 6.31 | 6.71 | 5.83 | 5.93 | n/a | |

While a decrease in interest rates would increase the fair value of debt, it is unlikely that events which would result in a significant realized loss will occur.

Commodity price risk - The following table provides information about the Company's financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in natural gas prices. Weighted average settlement prices are per 10,000 dekatherms. Fair value represents quoted market prices.

Expected Maturity:

| | <u>Futures Contracts</u> | |
|---|--------------------------|--------|
| | Long | Short |
| <u>2007</u> | | |
| Settlement Price ^(a) | 8.26 | 7.87 |
| Contract Amount ^(b) | 13.0 | 1.4 |
| Fair Value ^(b) | 13.0 | 1.3 |
| <u>2008</u> | | |
| Settlement Price ^(a) | 8.80 | |
| Contract Amount ^(b) | 9.5 | |
| Fair Value ^(b) | 9.9 | |
| | <u>Expected Maturity</u> | |
| <u>Commodity Swaps</u> | 2007 | 2008 |
| Pay fixed/receive variable ^(b) | 41.0 | 43.9 |
| Average pay rate ^(a) | 8.7073 | 8.5982 |
| Average received rate ^(a) | 8.5528 | 9.0218 |
| Fair value ^(b) | 40.2 | 46.1 |

^(a) Weighted average, in dollars

^(b) Millions of dollars

ITEM 4T. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of March 31, 2007 South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (SCE&G) conducted an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including its Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), of (a) the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures and (b) any change in its internal control over financial reporting. Based on this evaluation, the CEO and CFO concluded that as of March 31, 2007 SCE&G's disclosure controls and procedures were effective. There has been no change in SCE&G's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2007 that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect SCE&G's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

SCANA Corporation:

The following table provides information about purchases by or on behalf of SCANA Corporation (SCANA) or any affiliated purchaser (as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act)) of shares or other units of any class of SCANA's equity securities that are registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act:

| Period | Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | | | (d) |
|------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| | (a) Total number of shares (or units) purchased | (b) Average price paid per share (or unit) | (c) Total number of shares (or units) purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs | Maximum number (or approximate dollar value) of shares (or units) that may yet be purchased under the plan or program |
| January 1-January 31 | 360,100 | \$41.21 | 360,100 | |
| February 1-February 28 | 273,800 | 42.18 | 273,800 | |
| March 1-March 31 | 98,406 | 42.07 | 98,406 | |
| Total | 732,306 | | 732,306 | * |

*On May 16, 2006 SCANA announced a program to convert from original issue to open market purchase of SCANA common stock for all applicable compensation and dividend reinvestment plans. This program has no stated maximum number of shares that may be purchased and no stated expiration date.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

SCANA Corporation (SCANA) and South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (SCE&G):

Exhibits filed or furnished with this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are listed in the following Exhibit Index.

As permitted under Item 601(b)(4)(iii) of Regulation S-K, instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of less than 10 percent of the total consolidated assets of SCANA, for itself and its subsidiaries, and of SCE&G, for itself and its consolidated affiliates, have been omitted and SCANA and SCE&G agree to furnish a copy of such instruments to the Commission upon request.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, each of the registrants has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized. The signature of each registrant shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to such registrant and any subsidiaries thereof.

SCANA CORPORATION
SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY
(Registrants)

May 4, 2007

By: /s/James E. Swan, IV
James E. Swan, IV
Controller
(Principal accounting officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit No. | Applicable to Form 10-Q of | Description |
|-------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 3.01 | X | Restated Articles of Incorporation of SCANA Corporation as adopted on April 26, 1989 (Filed as Exhibit 3-A to Registration Statement No. 33-49145 and incorporated by reference herein) |
| 3.02 | X | Articles of Amendment dated April 27, 1995 (Filed as Exhibit 4-B to Registration Statement No. 33-62421 and incorporated by reference herein) |
| 3.03 | X | Restated Articles of Incorporation of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company, as adopted on May 3, 2001 (Filed as Exhibit 3.01 to Registration Statement No. 333-65460 and incorporated by reference herein) |
| 3.04 | X | Articles of Amendment effective as of the dates indicated below and filed as exhibits to the Registration Statements or Exchange Act reports set forth below and are incorporated by reference herein <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 25%;"> <p>May 22, 2001</p> <p>June 14, 2001</p> <p>August 30, 2001</p> <p>March 13, 2002</p> <p>May 9, 2002</p> <p>June 4, 2002</p> <p>August 12, 2002</p> <p>March 13, 2003</p> <p>May 22, 2003</p> <p>June 18, 2003</p> <p>August 7, 2003</p> <p>February 26, 2004</p> <p>May 18, 2004</p> <p>June 18, 2004</p> <p>August 12, 2004</p> <p>March 9, 2005</p> <p>May 16, 2005</p> <p>June 15, 2005</p> <p>August 16, 2005</p> <p>March 14, 2006</p> <p>May 11, 2006</p> <p>June 28, 2006</p> <p>August 16, 2006</p> <p>March 13, 2007</p> </div> <div style="width: 25%;"> <p>Exhibit 3.02</p> <p>Exhibit 3.04</p> <p>Exhibit 3.05</p> <p>Exhibit 3.06</p> <p>Exhibit 3.07</p> <p>Exhibit 3.08</p> <p>Exhibit 3.09</p> <p>Exhibit 3.03</p> <p>Exhibit 3.04</p> <p>Exhibit 3.05</p> <p>Exhibit 3.06</p> <p>Exhibit 3.05</p> <p>Exhibit 3.06</p> <p>Exhibit 3.05</p> <p>Exhibit 3.06</p> <p>Exhibit 3.05</p> <p>Exhibit 3.11</p> <p>Exhibit 3.12</p> <p>Exhibit 3.13</p> <p>Exhibit 3.14</p> <p>Exhibit 3.01</p> <p>Exhibit 3.01</p> <p>Exhibit 3.01</p> <p>Exhibit 3.01</p> <p>Exhibit 3.01</p> </div> <div style="width: 50%;"> <p>to Registration No. 333-65460</p> <p>to Registration No. 333-65460</p> <p>to Registration No. 333-101449</p> <p>to Registration No. 333-101449</p> <p>to Registration No. 333-101449</p> <p>to Registration No. 333-101449</p> <p>to Registration No. 333-101449</p> <p>to Registration No. 333-108760</p> <p>to Registration No. 333-108760</p> <p>to Registration No. 333-108760</p> <p>to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004</p> <p>to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004</p> <p>to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004</p> <p>to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended Sept. 30, 2004</p> <p>to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended Sept. 30, 2005</p> <p>to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended Sept. 30, 2005</p> <p>to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended Sept. 30, 2005</p> <p>to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended Sept. 30, 2005</p> <p>to Form 8-K dated March 17, 2006</p> <p>to Form 8-K filed May 15, 2006</p> <p>to Form 8-K filed June 29, 2006</p> <p>to Form 8-K filed August 17, 2006</p> <p>to Form 8-K filed March 15, 2007</p> </div> </div> |
| 3.05 | X | Articles of Correction filed on June 1, 2001 correcting May 22, 2001 Articles of Amendment (Filed as Exhibit 3.03 to Registration Statement No. 333-65460 and incorporated by reference herein) |
| 3.06 | X | Articles of Correction filed on February 17, 2004 correcting Articles of Amendment for the dates indicated below and filed as exhibits to the 2003 Form 10-K as set forth below and are incorporated by reference herein <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 25%;"> <p>May 3, 2001</p> <p>May 22, 2001</p> <p>June 14, 2001</p> <p>August 30, 2001</p> <p>March 13, 2002</p> <p>May 9, 2002</p> <p>June 4, 2002</p> <p>August 12, 2002</p> </div> <div style="width: 25%;"> <p>Exhibit 3.06</p> <p>Exhibit 3.07</p> <p>Exhibit 3.08</p> <p>Exhibit 3.09</p> <p>Exhibit 3.10</p> <p>Exhibit 3.11</p> <p>Exhibit 3.12</p> <p>Exhibit 3.13</p> </div> </div> |

| Exhibit No. | Applicable to Form 10-Q of | | Description |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-------|---|
| | SCANA | SCE&G | |
| | | | March 13, 2003 Exhibit 3.14 |
| | | | May 22, 2003 Exhibit 3.15 |
| | | | June 18, 2003 Exhibit 3.16 |
| | | | August 7, 2003 Exhibit 3.17 |
| 3.07 | X | | Articles of Correction dated March 17, 2006, correcting March 14, 2006 Articles of Amendment (Filed as Exhibit 3.02 to Form 8-K dated March 17, 2006 and incorporated by reference herein) |
| 3.08 | X | | Articles of Correction dated September 6, 2006, correcting August 16, 2006 Articles of Amendment (Filed as Exhibit 3.01 to Form 8-K filed September 7, 2006 and incorporated by reference herein) |
| 3.09 | X | | By-Laws of SCANA as revised and amended on December 13, 2000 (Filed as Exhibit 3.01 to Registration Statement No. 333-68266 and incorporated by reference herein) |
| 3.10 | X | | By-Laws of SCE&G as revised and amended on February 22, 2001 (Filed as Exhibit 3.05 to Registration Statement No. 333-65460 and incorporated by reference herein) |
| 31.01 | X | | Certification of Principal Executive Officer Required by Rule 13a-14 (Filed herewith) |
| 31.02 | X | | Certification of Principal Financial Officer Required by Rule 13a-14 (Filed herewith) |
| 31.03 | X | | Certification of Principal Executive Officer Required by Rule 13a-14 (Filed herewith) |
| 31.04 | X | | Certification of Principal Financial Officer Required by Rule 13a-14 (Filed herewith) |
| 32.01 | X | | Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (Furnished herewith) |
| 32.02 | X | | Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (Furnished herewith) |
| 32.03 | X | | Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (Furnished herewith) |
| 32.04 | X | | Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (Furnished herewith) |

CERTIFICATION

I, William B. Timmerman, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of SCANA Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 4, 2007

/s/ William B. Timmerman
William B. Timmerman
Chairman of the Board, President and
Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, Jimmy E. Addison, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of SCANA Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 4, 2007

/s/ Jimmy E. Addison

Jimmy E. Addison
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, William B. Timmerman, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 4, 2007

/s/ William B. Timmerman

William B. Timmerman
Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, Jimmy E. Addison, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 4, 2007

/s/ Jimmy E. Addison

Jimmy E. Addison

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of SCANA Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. ss. 1350, as adopted pursuant to ss. 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: May 4, 2007

/s/William B. Timmerman

William B. Timmerman
Chairman of the Board, President and
Chief Executive Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of SCANA Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. ss. 1350, as adopted pursuant to ss. 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: May 4, 2007

/s/Jimmy E. Addison

Jimmy E. Addison
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (the “Company”) on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), I certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. ss. 1350, as adopted pursuant to ss. 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: May 4, 2007

/s/William B. Timmerman

William B. Timmerman
Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. ss. 1350, as adopted pursuant to ss. 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: May 4, 2007

/s/Jimmy E. Addison

Jimmy E. Addison
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.